arXiv:1510.02571v4 [math.AT] 21 Jun 2016

HOMOLOGICAL STABILITY OF DIFFEOMORPHISM GROUPS OF HIGH DIMENSIONAL HANDLEBODIES

NATHAN PERLMUTTER

Abstract. In this paper we prove a homological stability theorem for the diffeomorphism groups of high dimensional manifolds with boundary, with respect to forming the boundary connected sum with the product $D^{p+1} \times S^q$ for $|q-p| < \min\{p,q\} - 2$. In a recent joint paper with B. Botvinnik, we prove that there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname*{colim}_{g\to\infty} H_*(\mathrm{BDiff}((D^{n+1}\times S^n)^{\natural g},\ D^{2n});\mathbb{Z}) \ \cong\ H_*(Q_0 BO(2n+1)\langle n\rangle_+;\mathbb{Z})$$

in the case that $n \geq 4$. By combining this "stable homology" calculation with the results of this current paper, we obtain $H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}((D^{n+1}\times S^n)^{\natural g},\ D^{2n});\mathbb{Z}) \ \cong\ H_k(Q_0 BO(2n+1)\langle n\rangle_+;\mathbb{Z})$ in the case that $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g-4)$. This isomorphism lets us determine the characteristic classes in degrees $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g-4)$ for all smooth fibre-bundles with fibre diffeomorphic to $(D^{n+1}\times S^n)^{\natural g}$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Simplicial Techniques 6
3. Simplicial Complexes 8
4. The Algebraic Invariants 12
5. Wall Forms 16
6. High Connectivity of $K^\partial(M)_{p,q}$ 20
7. Homological Stability 25
8. Tangential Structures 29
Appendix A. Embeddings and Disjunction 35
References 42

1. Introduction

1.1. **Main result.** Let M be a smooth, compact, m-dimensional manifold with non-empty boundary. Fix an $(m-1)$-dimensional disk $D^{m-1} \hookrightarrow \partial M$. We denote by $\mathrm{Diff}(M, D^{m-1})$ the group of self-diffeomorphisms of M that restrict to the identity on a neighborhood of D^{m-1}, topologized in the C^∞-topology. Let $\mathrm{BDiff}(M, D^{m-1})$ denote the *classifying space* of the topological group $\mathrm{Diff}(M, D^{m-1})$. Choose an m-dimensional manifold V with non-empty boundary and

let $M\natural V$ denote the *boundary connected sum* of M and V. There is a natural homomorphism $\mathrm{Diff}(M, D^{m-1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}(M\natural V, D^{m-1})$ (to be defined in the next section) which gives rise to a direct system of maps of the classifying spaces

$$\mathrm{BDiff}(M, D^{m-1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}(M\natural V, D^{m-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}(M\natural V^{\natural g}, D^{m-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{1.1}$$

In this paper we study the homological properties of this direct system in the case when M is a high dimensional manifold and V is a handlebody. To state our main theorem we must fix some notation. For $p, q, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $V^g_{p,q}$ denote the g-fold boundary connected sum, $(D^{p+1} \times S^q)^{\natural g}$. Let $d(\pi_q(S^p))$ denote the *generating set length* of the homotopy group $\pi_q(S^p)$, which is the quantity defined by

$$d(\pi_q(S^p)) \;=\; \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists an epimorphism } \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus k} \to \pi_q(S^p)\ \}.$$

We let $\kappa(M)$ and $\kappa(M, \partial M)$ denote the degrees of connectivity of M and $(M, \partial M)$, that is

$$\kappa(M) = \max\{\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \pi_i(M) = 0 \ \text{ for all } i \leq k\ \},$$
$$\kappa(M, \partial M) = \max\{\ k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid \pi_i(M, \partial M) = 0 \ \text{ for all } i \leq k\ \}.$$

Here is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a compact manifold with non-empty boundary. Let p and q be positive integers with $p + q + 1 = \dim(M)$, such that inequalities*

$$|q - p| < \min\{p, q\} - 2 \quad \textit{and} \quad |q - p| < \min\{\kappa(\partial M), \kappa(M, \partial M)\} - 1 \tag{1.2}$$

are satisfied. Then the homomorphism induced by the maps in (1.1),

$$H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}(M\natural V^g_{p,q}, D^{m-1});\ \mathbb{Z}) \;\longrightarrow\; H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}(M\natural V^{g+1}_{p,q}, D^{m-1});\ \mathbb{Z}),$$

is an isomorphism when $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - d(\pi_q(S^p)) - 3)$ and an epimorphism when $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - d(\pi_q(S^p)) - 1)$.

An important case of interest is obtained by letting M be a $(2n+1)$-dimensional disk D^{2n+1} and by setting $p = q = n$. We then have $V^g_{n,n} = (D^{n+1} \times S^n)^{\natural g}$, and since $M \cong D^{2n+1}$, we have $M\natural V^g_{n,n} \cong V^g_{n,n}$. In this case the inequalities of (1.2) translate to the single condition that $2n+1 \geq 9$. Furthermore, $d(\pi_n(S^n)) = d(\mathbb{Z}) = 1$. We obtain the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 1.1.1. *Let $2n + 1 \geq 9$ and let M be a compact, $(2n+1)$-dimensional manifold with non-empty boundary. Then the homomorphism*

$$H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}((D^{n+1} \times S^n)^{\natural g},\ D^{2n});\ \mathbb{Z}) \;\longrightarrow\; H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}((D^{n+1} \times S^n)^{\natural g+1},\ D^{2n});\ \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism when $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 4)$ and an epimorphism when $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 2)$.

In [2] the (co)homology of the limiting space $\operatorname{colim}_{g\to\infty} \mathrm{BDiff}((D^{n+1} \times S^n)^{\natural g}, D^{2n})$ is identified. It is proven that there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname*{colim}_{g\to\infty} H_*(\mathrm{BDiff}((D^{n+1} \times S^n)^{\natural g}, D^{2n});\ \mathbb{Z}) \;\cong\; H_*(Q_0 BO(2n+1)\langle n\rangle_+;\ \mathbb{Z}), \tag{1.3}$$

where $BO(2n+1)\langle n\rangle$ denotes the *n-connective cover* of $BO(2n+1)$. Combining this isomorphism with Corollary 1.1.1 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.1.2. *Let* $2n+1 \geq 9$. *Then there is an isomorphism*

$$H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}((D^{n+1} \times S^n)^{\natural g}, D^{2n});\ \mathbb{Z}) \ \cong\ H_k(Q_0 BO(2n+1)\langle n\rangle_+;\ \mathbb{Z})$$

when $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g-4)$.

1.2. **The stabilization map.** With our main results now stated, we give the construction of the maps in the direct system (1.1). We will actually construct something slightly more general than what was considered in the previous section and will ultimately end up proving a more general result than Theorem 1.1. We will need to introduce some new definitions and notation. Recall that a *triad* of topological spaces is a triple $(X; A, B)$ where X is a topological space and $A, B \subset X$ are subspaces.

Definition 1.2. A *manifold triad* of dimension n is a triad $(W; \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$ where W is an n-dimensional smooth manifold, $\partial_0 W, \partial_1 W \subset \partial W$ are submanifolds of dimension $n-1$ such that $\partial W = \partial_0 W \cup \partial_1 W$ and $\partial(\partial_0 W) = \partial_0 W \cap \partial_1 W = \partial(\partial_1 W)$. We will denote by $\partial_{0,1} W$ the intersection $\partial_0 W \cap \partial_1 W$. We will refer to W as the *total space* and to $\partial_0 W$ and $\partial_1 W$ the *faces*.

Two compact d-dimensional manifold pairs $(M, \partial M)$ and $(N, \partial N)$ are said to be *cobordant* if there exists a $(d+1)$-dimensional compact manifold triad $(W; \partial_0 W, \partial_1 W)$ such that $(\partial_0 W, \partial_{0,1} W) = (M \sqcup N,\ \partial M \sqcup \partial N)$. The pair $(W, \partial_1 W)$ is then said to be a *relative cobordism* between the pairs $(M, \partial M)$ and $(N, \partial N)$.

Let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ be an m-dimensional, compact manifold triad. Let $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ denote the topological group of self-diffeomorphisms $f : M \longrightarrow M$ with $f(\partial_i M) = \partial_i M$ for $i = 0, 1$. We are mainly interested in the subgroup $\mathrm{Diff}(M, \partial_0 M) \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ consisting of those self-diffeomorphisms that restrict to the identity on a neighborhood of $\partial_0 M$. We will need the following construction.

Construction 1.1. Let $(P, \partial P)$ be an $(m-1)$-dimensional manifold pair and let $(K; \partial_0 K, \partial_1 K)$ be a compact manifold triad such that $\partial_0 K = \partial_0 M \sqcup P$, i.e. the pair $(K, \partial_1 K)$ is a relative cobordism between $(\partial_0 M, \partial_{0,1} M)$ and $(P, \partial P)$. Let $M \cup_{\partial_0} K$ be the manifold obtained by attaching K to M along face $\partial_0 M \subset \partial_0 K$. Similarly, let $\partial_1(M \cup_{\partial_0} K) := \partial_1 M \cup_{\partial_{0,1}} \partial_1 K$ be the manifold obtained by attaching $\partial_1 K$ to $\partial_1 M$ along their common boundary $\partial_{0,1} M$. By setting $\partial_0(M \cup_{\partial_0} K) := P$, we obtain a new manifold triad $(M \cup_{\partial_0} K;\ \partial_0(M \cup_{\partial_0} K),\ \partial_1(M \cup_{\partial_0} K))$. The cobordism $(K, \partial_1 K)$ induces a homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Diff}(M, \partial_0 M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Diff}(M \cup_{\partial_0} K, P), \quad f \mapsto f \cup \mathrm{Id}_K,$$

defined by extending diffeomorphisms identically over K. This homomorphism in turn induces a map on the level of classifying spaces

$$\mathrm{BDiff}(M, \partial_0 M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}(M \cup_{\partial_0 M} K, P). \tag{1.4}$$

This map should be compared to the one from [4, Equation 1.1]. Indeed, they are the same map in the case that $\partial_1 M = \partial_1 K = \emptyset$.

Suppose now that $\partial_0 M$ and $\partial_1 M$ are non-empty. Let p and q be integers such that $p+q+1 = m$. Let $K_{p,q}$ then denote the manifold obtained by forming the boundary connected sum of $D^{p+1} \times S^q$,

with $\partial_0 M \times [0,1]$. In constructing $K_{p,q}$ we form the boundary connected sum along a neighborhood contained in the complement of the subspace $\partial_0 M \times \{0,1\} \subset \partial_0 M \times [0,1]$; in this way the boundary of $K_{p,q}$ will contain $\partial_0 M \times \{0,1\}$. We then set

$$\partial_0 K_{p,q} := \partial_0 M \times \{0,1\}, \qquad \partial_1 K_{p,q} := \partial K_{p,q} \setminus \mathrm{Int}(\partial_0 K_{p,q}) \;=\; (\partial_{0,1} M \times [0,1]) \# (S^p \times S^q).$$

The triple $(K_{p,q};\ \partial_0 K_{p,q},\ \partial_1 K_{p,q})$ is a manifold triad and $(K_{p,q}, \partial_1 K_{p,q})$ is a relative cobordism between the manifold pairs $(\partial_0 M \times \{0\},\ \partial_{0,1} M \times \{0\})$ and $(\partial_0 M \times \{1\},\ \partial_{0,1} M \times \{1\})$. We apply Construction 1.1 to this relative cobordism $(K_{p,q}, \partial_1 K_{p,q})$. We form the manifold $M \cup_{\partial_0} K_{p,q}$ and notice that $\partial_0(M \cup_{\partial_0} K_{p,q}) = \partial_0 M$. We define

$$s_{p,q} : \mathrm{BDiff}(M,\ \partial_0 M) \;\longrightarrow\; \mathrm{BDiff}(M \cup_{\partial_0} K_{p,q},\ \partial_0 M) \tag{1.5}$$

to be the map on classifying spaces induced by $(K_{p,q}, \partial_1 K_{p,q})$ from (1.4). We will refer to this map as the *(p,q)-th stabilization map.*

Remark 1.3. Note that the manifold $M \cup_{\partial_0} K_{p,q}$ is diffeomorphic to the boundary connected sum $M \natural V^1_{p,q} = M \natural (D^{p+1} \times S^q)$. By identifying $M \cup_{\partial_0} K_{p,q}$ with $M \natural V^1_{p,q}$, (1.5) yields the map

$$\mathrm{BDiff}(M,\ \partial_0 M) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}(M \natural V^1_{p,q},\ \partial_0 M).$$

In the case that $\partial_0 M = D^{p+q}$ we obtain the maps used in the direct system (1.1) (we take this to be the definition of those maps).

We need one more definition. Recall from the previous section the manifold $V^g_{p,q} = (D^{p+1} \times S^q) \natural g$. Choose an embedded $(p+q)$-dimensional closed disk $D \subset \partial V^g_{p,q}$ and set

$$\partial_0 V^g_{p,q} := D \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_1 V^g_{p,q} := \partial V^g_{p,q} \setminus \mathrm{Int}(D)$$

so as to obtain a manifold triad. With $\dim(M) = m$ and $p+q+1 = m$ as above, we let $r_{p,q}(M)$ be the integer defined by

$$r_{p,q}(M) \;=\; \max\{g \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists an embedding } (V^g_{p,q}, \partial_1 V^g_{p,q}) \longrightarrow (M, \partial_1 M)\ \}. \tag{1.6}$$

We refer to this quantity as the (p,q)-rank of M. This quantity $r_{p,q}(M)$ is equivalent to the maximal number of boundary connect summands of $D^{p+1} \times S^q$ that split off of M. We emphasize that the embeddings $(V^g_{p,q}, \partial_1 V^g_{p,q}) \longrightarrow (M, \partial_1 M)$ used in (1.6) need not send the face $\partial_0 V^g_{p,q}$ into $\partial_0 M$.

Remark 1.4. The invariant $r_{p,q}(M)$ depends on the structure of the triad $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ and not just the manifold M itself. In particular, switching the roles of $\partial_0 M$ and $\partial_1 M$ in (1.6) will change the value of the rank $r_{p,q}(M)$.

As in the statement of Theorem 1.1 we will need to assume that the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$|q-p| < \min\{p,q\} - 2, \quad |q-p| < \min\{\kappa(\partial_1 M), \kappa(M, \partial_1 M)\} - 1. \tag{1.7}$$

The main theorem that we will prove in this paper is stated below; it is a generalization of Theorem 1.1. Recall the generating set length $d(\pi_q(S^p))$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)$ be an m-dimensional, compact, manifold triad with $\partial_0 M$ and $\partial_1 M$ nonempty. Let p and q be positive integers with $p+q+1=m$ and suppose that the inequalities of (1.7) are satisfied. Let $r_{p,q}(M)\geq g$. Then the homomorphism*

$$(s_{p,q})_*: H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}(M,\partial_0 M);\ \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}(M\cup_{\partial_0} K_{p,q},\ \partial_0 M);\ \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism when $k\leq \frac{1}{2}(g-3-d(\pi_q(S^p)))$ and an epimorphism when $k\leq \frac{1}{2}(g-1-d(\pi_q(S^p)))$.

1.3. **Ideas behind the proof.** For each pair of integers $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$ with $p+q+1=m=\dim(M)$, we construct a highly connected simplicial complex $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ which admits an action of the topological group $\mathrm{Diff}(M,\partial_0 M)$. Let $V_{p,q}$ denote the manifold $V^1_{p,q}=D^{p+1}\times S^q$ from above. Roughly, the l-simplices of $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ are given by sets of $(l+1)$-many pairwise disjoint embeddings $V_{p,q}\hookrightarrow M$ that send the face $\partial_1 V_{p,q}$ into $\partial_1 M$. This simplicial complex is similar to the complex $K(M)_{p,q}$ considered in [13] or the main simplicial complex from [4]. The majority of the technical mathematical work of this paper is involved in proving that if the inequalities of (1.7) are satisfied, then the geometric realization $|K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}[r_{p,q}(M)-4-d(\pi_q(S^p))]$-connected. This result is established in Theorem 3.5 and is proven in Section 6 using techniques developed over the course of the entire paper. The final section (Section 7) shows how to obtain Theorem 1.5 from the high connectivity of the geometric realization $|K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}|$. This final step goes through in almost exactly the same way as in [4] or [3] and so we omit most of the details and provide just an outline of this final step.

To prove that $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ is highly connected, we compare $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ to an auxiliary complex constructed out of algebraic data associated to the pair $(M,\partial_1 M)$. These structures that we use to define the auxiliary complex are as follows:

- A bilinear map $\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}:\pi_{p+1}(M,\partial_1 M)\otimes\pi_q(S^p)\longrightarrow\pi_q(\partial_1 M),\quad ([f],[\varphi])\ \mapsto\ [f|_{\partial D^{p+1}}\circ\varphi]$.
- Bilinear intersection pairings,

$$\lambda^{\partial}_{p,q}:\pi_{p+1}(M,\partial_1 M)\otimes\pi_q(\partial_1 M)\longrightarrow\mathbb{Z},$$
$$\mu_q:\pi_q(\partial_1 M)\otimes\pi_q(\partial_1 M)\longrightarrow\pi_q(S^p),$$

  where μ_q is $(-1)^q$-symmetric.
- A function $\alpha_q:\pi_q(\partial_1 M)\longrightarrow\pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$ defined by sending an element $x\in\pi_q(\partial_1 M)$ to the class in $\pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$ that classifies the normal bundle of an embedding which represents x (if (1.7) is satisfied, then by [15, Proposition 1 and Lemma 1] any such class $x\in\pi_q(\partial_1 M)$ is represented by a smooth embedding, unique up to regular homotopy though immersions).

We consider the algebraic structure given by the homotopy groups $\pi_{p+1}(M,\partial_1 M)$ and $\pi_q(\partial_1 M)$, equipped with the maps $\tau_{p,q}$, $\lambda_{p,q}$, μ_q, and α_q. We call this algebraic structure the *Wall form of degree* (p,q) associated to the pair $(M,\partial_1 M)$ and denote it by $\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M)$. This should be compared to the Wall forms (associated to a single manifold) considered in [13]. We construct a simplicial complex $L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M))$ whose l-simplices are given by sets of $(l+1)$-many pairwise orthogonal (with respect to both $\lambda_{p,q}$ and μ_q) monomorphisms $\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(V_{p,q},\partial_1 V)\to\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M)$, mimicking embeddings the manifolds $(V_{p,q},\partial_1 V_{p,q})\hookrightarrow(M,\partial_1 M)$ which make up the vertices of the complex $K^{\partial}(M,\partial_1 M)_{p,q}$. In Section 5 we prove that $|L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M))|$ is $\frac{1}{2}[r_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M)-4-$

$d(\pi_q(S^p))]$-connected by using the algebraic results for Wall forms developed in [13]. In Section 6 we prove that $|K^\partial(M)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}[r_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M) - 4 - d(\pi_q(S^p))]$-connected by comparing the complex $K^\partial(M,\partial_1 M)_{p,q}$ to $L(\mathcal{W}_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M))$.

1.4. **Organization.** In Section 2 we develop a number of simplicial techniques that we will use to analyze the simplicial complexes $K^\partial(M,\partial_1 M)_{p,q}$ and $L(\mathcal{W}^\partial_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M))$. This section is mostly a recollection of results from other papers. In Section 3 we construct the main simplicial complex $K^\partial(M,\partial_1 M)_{p,q}$. In Section 4 we define certain algebraic invariants associated to a manifold with boundary. Section 5 is devoted to the study of Wall forms. Most of this section is a recollection of results from [13, Section 5]. In Section 6 we use the results developed throughout the rest of the paper to prove that $|K^\partial(M,\partial_1 M)_{p,q}|$ is highly connected. In Section 7, we show how to obtain Theorem 1.5 (and thus Theorem 1.1) using the high connectivity of $|K^\partial(M,\partial_1 M)_{p,q}|$. In Section 8 sketch an outline of how to obtain an analogue of Theorem 1.5 for the moduli spaces of handlebodies equipped with a tangential structure.

1.5. **Acknowledgments.** The author thanks Boris Botvinnik for many helpful conversations in regards to this project. The author was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Fellowship, DMS-1502657.

2. Simplicial Techniques

In this section we recall a number of simplicial techniques that we will need to use throughout the paper. We will need to consider a variety of different simplicial complexes and semi-simplicial spaces.

2.1. **Cohen-Macaulay complexes.** Let X be a simplicial complex. Recall that the *link* of a simplex $\sigma < X$, denoted by $\mathrm{lk}_X(\sigma)$, is defined to be the sub-simplicial complex of X consisting of all simplices ζ disjoint from σ, such that that there exists some higher-dimensional simplex ξ such that both σ and ζ are faces of ξ.

Definition 2.1. A simplicial complex X is said to be *weakly Cohen-Macaulay* of dimension n if it is $(n-1)$-connected and the link of any p-simplex is $(n-p-2)$-connected. In this case we write $\omega CM(X) \geq n$. The complex X is said to be *locally weakly Cohen-Macaulay* of dimension n if the link of any simplex is $(n-p-2)$-connected (but no global connectivity is required on X itself). In this case we shall write $lCM(X) \geq n$.

The next two definitions are recollections from [14, Section 2].

Definition 2.2. Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a simplicial map between two simplicial complexes. The map is said to have the *link lifting property* if the following condition holds:

- Let $y \in Y$ be a vertex and let $A \subset X$ be a set of vertices such that $f(a) \in \mathrm{lk}_Y(y)$ for all $a \in A$. Then there exists $x \in X$ with $f(x) = y$ such that $a \in \mathrm{lk}_X(x)$ for all $a \in A$.

In order for the map f to have the link lifting property it is necessary that the above condition be satisfied for any set of vertices $A \subset X$. In practice, it can be difficult to verify the above lifting

property for totally arbitrary subsets $A \subset X$. One may only be able to verify that it holds sets of vertices subject to some further condition. We will need to work with a refined version of Definition 2.2.

Recall that for any set K, a *symmetric relation* is a subset $\mathcal{R} \subset K \times K$ that is invariant under the "coordinate permutation" map $(x, y) \mapsto (y, x)$. A subset $C \subset K$ is said to be in *general position with respect to* $\mathcal{R}$ if $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}$ for any two elements $x, y \in C$. We will need to consider symmetric relations defined on the set of vertices of a simplicial complex. Let X be a simplicial complex and let $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$ be a symmetric relation on the vertices of X. The relation $\mathcal{R}$ is said to be *edge compatible* if for any 1-simplex $\{x, y\} < X$, the pair (x, y) is an element of $\mathcal{R}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a simplicial map between two simplicial complexes. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$ be a symmetric relation on the set of vertices of the complex X. The map f is said to have the *link lifting property with respect to* $\mathcal{R}$ if the following condition holds:

- Let $y \in Y$ be any vertex and let $A \subset X$ be a finite set of vertices in general position with respect to $\mathcal{R}$ such that $f(a) \in \mathrm{lk}_Y(x)$ for all $a \in A$. Then given another finite set of vertices $B \subset X$ (not necessarily in general position with respect to $\mathcal{R}$), there exists a vertex $x \in X$ with $f(x) = y$ such that $a \in \mathrm{lk}_X(x)$ for all $a \in A$ and $(b, x) \in \mathcal{R}$ for all $b \in B$.

The following lemma below is proven in [14, Lemma 2.3]. It will be applied in the proof of Theorem 3.5 given on page 24. This lemma below abstracts and formalizes the argument used in the proof of [4, Lemma 5.4] and its proof (in [14]) is an application of [4, Theorem 2.4] and is a generalization of the "Coloring Lemma" of Hatcher and Wahl from [9].

Lemma 2.4. *Let X and Y be simplicial complexes and let $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ be a simplicial map. Let $\mathcal{R} \subset X \times X$ be an edge compatible symmetric relation. Suppose that the following conditions are met:*

(i) *f has the link lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{R}$;*

(ii) *$lCM(Y) \geq n$;*

Then the induced map $|f|_* : \pi_j(|X|) \longrightarrow \pi_j(|Y|)$ is injective for all $j \leq n-1$. Furthermore, suppose that in addition to properties (i) and (ii) the map f satisfies:

(iii) *$f(lk_X(\zeta)) \leq lk_Y(f(\zeta))$ for all simplices $\zeta < X$.*

Then it follows that $lCM(X) \geq n$.

2.2. **Topological flag complexes.** We will need to work with a certain class of semi-simplicial spaces called *topological flag complexes* (see [5, Definition 6.1]).

Definition 2.5. Let $X_\bullet$ be a semi-simplicial space. We say that $X_\bullet$ is a *topological flag complex* if for each integer $p \geq 0$,

(i) the map $X_p \longrightarrow (X_0)^{\times(p+1)}$ to the $(p+1)$-fold product (which takes a p-simplex to its $(p+1)$ vertices) is a homeomorphism onto its image, which is an open subset,

(ii) a tuple $(v_0, \dots, v_p) \in (X_0)^{\times(p+1)}$ lies in the image of X_p if and only if $(v_i, v_j) \in X_1$ for all $i < j$.

If $X_\bullet$ is a topological flag complex, we may denote any p-simplex $x \in X_p$ by a $(p+1)$-tuple $(x_0, \dots, x_p)$ of zero-simplices.

Definition 2.6. Let $X_\bullet$ be a topological flag complex and let $x = (x_0, \dots, x_p) \in X_p$ be a p-simplex. The *link* of x, denoted by $X_\bullet(x) \subset X_\bullet$, is defined to be the sub-semi-simplicial space whose l-simplices are given by the space of all ordered lists $(y_0, \dots, y_l) \in X_l$ such that the list $(x_0, \dots, x_p, y_0, \dots, y_\ell) \in (X_0)^{\times(p+\ell+2)}$, is a $(p+\ell+1)$-simplex.

It is easily verified that the link $X_\bullet(x)$ is a topological flag complex as well. The topological flag complex $X_\bullet$ is said to be *weakly Cohen-Macaulay* of dimension n if its geometric realization is $(n-1)$-connected and if for any p-simplex $x \in X_p$, the geometric realization of the link $|X_\bullet(x)|$ is $(n-p-2)$-connected. In this case we write $\omega CM(X_\bullet) \geq n$.

The main result from this section is a result about the discretization of a topological flag complex.

Definition 2.7. Let $X_\bullet$ be a semi-simplicial space. Let $X^\delta_\bullet$ be the semi-simplicial set defined by setting X^δ_p equal to the discrete topological space with underlying set equal to X_p, for each integer $p \geq 0$. We will call the semi-simplicial set $X^\delta_\bullet$ the *discretization* of $X_\bullet$.

The proof of the following theorem is given in [14, Section 2.2] by repackaging several results from [4].

Theorem 2.8. *Let $X_\bullet$ be a topological flag complex and suppose that $\omega CM(X^\delta_\bullet) \geq n$. Then the geometric realization $|X_\bullet|$ is $(n-1)$-connected.*

3. Simplicial Complexes

In this section we define a topological flag complex and an associated simplicial complex analogous to the ones studied in [13] and [4].

3.1. **Preliminaries.** For integers p, q, and g, let $V^g_{p,q}$ denote the manifold $(D^{p+1} \times S^q)^{\natural g}$. Fix an embedded $(p+q)$-dimensional closed disk $D \subset \partial V^g_{p,q}$, and set

$$\partial_0 V^g_{p,q} := D \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_1 V^g_{p,q} := \partial V^g_{p,q} \setminus \mathrm{Int}(D).$$

In this way $(V^g_{p,q};\ \partial_0 V^g_{p,q}, \partial_1 V^g_{p,q})$ is a manifold triad of dimension $p+q+1$. For each $g \in \mathbb{N}$ we let $W^g_{p,q}$ denote the the face $\partial_1 V^g_{p,q} \cong S^p \times S^q \setminus \mathrm{Int}(D^{p+q})$. We will denote $V_{p,q} := V^1_{p,q}$ and $W_{p,q} := W^1_{p,q}$. We will need to work with the *half-disk*.

Definition 3.1. For an integer m let D^m_+ denote the m-dimensional half-disk, i.e. the subspace given by the set $\{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid |\bar{x}| \leq 1, \quad x_1 \geq 0\ \}$. The boundary of D^m_+ has the decomposition $\partial D^m_+ = \partial_0 D^m_+ \cup \partial_1 D^m_+$ where $\partial_0 D^m_+$ and $\partial_1 D^m_+$ are given by,

$$\partial_0 D^m_+ = \{\bar{x} \in D^m_+ \mid x_1 = 0\ \} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_1 D^m_+ = \{\bar{x} \in D^m_+ \mid |\bar{x}| = 1\ \}.$$

In this way $(D^m_+;\ \partial_0 D^m_+,\ \partial_1 D^m_+)$ forms a manifold triad.

We will construct a slight modification of the manifold $V_{p,q}$. Choose an embedding

$$\alpha : (D^{p+q}_+ \times \{1\},\ \partial_0 D^{p+q}_+ \times \{1\}) \longrightarrow (\partial_0 V_{p,q},\ \partial_{0,1} V_{p,q}).$$

Let $\widehat{V}_{p,q}$ denote the manifold obtained by attaching $D^{p+q}_+ \times [0,1]$ to $V_{p,q}$ along the embedding α, i.e.

$$\widehat{V}_{p,q} \ = \ V_{p,q} \cup_\alpha (D^{p+q}_+ \times [0,1]). \tag{3.1}$$

We then denote by $\widehat{W}_{p,q}$ the manifold obtained by attaching $\partial_0 D^{p+q} \times [0,1]$ to $\partial_1 V_{p,q}$ along the restriction of α to $\partial_0 D^{p+q} \times \{1\}$. Notice that the boundary of $\widehat{V}_{p,q}$ decomposes as the union

$$\partial \widehat{V}_{p,q} = \widehat{W}_{p,q} \cup (D^{p+q}_+ \times \{0\}). \tag{3.2}$$

Construction 3.1. We construct a "core" $C_{p,q} \subset \widehat{V}_{p,q}$ as follows. Choose a base point

$$(a_0, b_0) \in \partial D^{p+1} \times S^q$$

such that the pair $(a_0, -b_0)$ is contained in the face $\partial_1 V_{p,q}$. Choose an embedding

$$\gamma : [0,1] \longrightarrow \widehat{W}_{p,q} \tag{3.3}$$

that satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\gamma(1) = (a_0, -b_0)$ and $\gamma(0) = (0,0) \in \partial_0 D^{p+q}_+ \times \{0\}$;

(ii) there exists $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\gamma(t) \ = \ (0,t) \in \{0\} \times [0,1] \ \subset \ \partial_0 D^{p+q}_+ \times [0,1] \ \subset \ \widehat{W}_{p,q},$$

whenever $t \in (0, \varepsilon)$;

(iii) $\gamma((0,1)) \cap \big[(D^{p+1} \times \{a_0\}) \cup (\{b_0\} \times S^q)\big] = \emptyset$.

We define $(B_{p,q}, C_{p,q}) \subset (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q})$ to be the pair of subspaces given by

$$\Big(\gamma([0,1]) \cup (D^{p+1} \times \{a_0\}) \cup (\{b_0\} \times S^q),\ \ \gamma([0,1]) \cup (S^p \times \{a_0\}) \cup (\{b_0\} \times S^q)\Big). \tag{3.4}$$

The inclusion $(B_{p,q}, C_{p,q}) \hookrightarrow (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q})$ is a homotopy equivalence of pairs. We choose an isotopy of embeddings of pairs

$$\rho_t : (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q}) \longrightarrow (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q}), \quad t \in [0, \infty), \tag{3.5}$$

with the properties:

- $\rho_0 = \mathrm{Id}\,|_{\widehat{V}_{p,q}}$,
- $\rho_t|_{B_{p,q}} = \mathrm{Id}_{B_{p,q}}$ for all $t \in [0, \infty)$,
- given any neighborhood U of $B_{p,q}$, there exists $t_U \in [0, \infty)$ such that $\rho_{t_U}(\widehat{W}_{p,q}) \subset U$.

Remark 3.2. The above construction should be compared to [13, Section 2.1, Page 4]. In particular, the manifold $\widehat{W}_{p,q}$ is identical to the manifold constructed there. Evidently, $\widehat{W}_{p,q}$ is diffeomorphic to $(S^p \times S^q)^{\#g} \setminus \mathrm{Int}(D^{p+q})$.

3.2. **The Complex of Embedded Handles.** Let $(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)$ be a compact manifold triad of dimension m. Let $\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+$ denote the product $[0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{m-2}$ and let $\partial\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+$ denote the boundary $\{0\}\times\mathbb{R}^{m-2}$. Choose an embedding,

$$a : [0,1)\times\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+ \longrightarrow M$$

that satisfies

$$a^{-1}(\partial_0 M) = \{0\}\times\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+ \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1}(\partial_1 M) = [0,1)\times\partial\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+.$$

For each pair of positive integers p and q with $p+q+1=m$, we define a simplicial complex $K^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$.

Definition 3.3. The simplicial complex $K^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$ is defined as follows:

(i) A vertex in $K^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$ is defined to be a pair (t,ϕ), where $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\phi:\widehat{V}_{p,q}\longrightarrow M$ is an embedding that satisfies:
   (a) $\phi^{-1}(\partial_0 M) = D^{p+q}_+\times\{0\}$ and $\phi^{-1}(\partial_1 M) = \widehat{W}_{p,q}$;
   (b) there exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that for $(s,z)\in[0,\varepsilon)\times D^{m-1}_+ \subset \widehat{V}_{p,q}$, the equality $\phi(s,z) = a(s,z+te_2)$ is satisfied, where $e_2\in\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+$ denotes the second basis vector.

(ii) A set of vertices $\{(\phi_0,t_0),\dots,(\phi_l,t_l)\}$ forms an l-simplex if $t_i\neq t_j$ and $\phi_i(B_{p,q})\cap\phi_j(B_{p,q})=\emptyset$ whenever $i\neq j$, where recall that $B_{p,q}\subset\widehat{V}_{p,q}$ is the core specified in Construction 3.1.

Remark 3.4. The embedding a was necessary in order to define the complex $K^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$, however, it will not play a serious role in any of our proofs latter in the paper. Thus, we will drop the embedding a from the notation and will denote $K^\partial(M)_{p,q} := K^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$.

The majority of the technical work of this paper is devoted to proving the next theorem stated below. The proof will be given in Section 6 and will use the techniques developed throughout the rest of the paper. As in the statement of Theorem 1.5, we will need to assume that $(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)$ and the integers p and q satisfy the inequalities

$$|q-p| < \min\{p,q\}-2, \quad |q-p| < \min\{\kappa(\partial_1 M),\kappa(M,\partial_1 M)\}-1. \tag{3.6}$$

Recall from the introduction the generating set rank $d(\pi_q(S^p))$.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)$ be an m-dimensional manifold triad with $\partial_0 M\neq\emptyset$. Let $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$ be such that $p+q+1=m$ and suppose that the inequalities (3.6) are satisfied. Let $d=d(\pi_q(S^p))$ be the generating set rank and suppose that $r_{p,q}(M)\geq g$. Then $|K^\partial(M)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4-d)$-connected and $lCM(K^\partial(M)_{p,q})\geq\frac{1}{2}(g-1-d)$.*

We will need to work with two semi-simplicial spaces related to the complex $K^\partial(M)_{p,q}$ defined above. Let $(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)$, p, and q be as in the previous section and let $a:[0,1)\times\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+\longrightarrow M$ be the same embedding used in Definition 3.3. We define two semi-simplicial spaces $K^\partial_\bullet(M)_{p,q}$ and $\bar{K}^\partial_\bullet(M,a)_{p,q}$.

Definition 3.6. The spaces of l-simplices, $K^\partial_l(M,a)_{p,q}$ are defined as follows:

(i) The space of 0-simplices $K_0^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$ is defined to have the same underlying set as the set of vertices of the simplicial complex $K^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$. That is, $K_0^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$ is the space of pairs (t,ϕ), where $t\in\mathbb{R}$ and $\phi:\widehat{V}_{p,q}\longrightarrow M$ is an embedding which satisfies the same condition as in part (i) of Definition 3.3.

(ii) The space of l-simplices, $K_l^\partial(M,a)\subset(K_0^\partial(M,a))^{l+1}$ consists of the ordered $(l+1)$-tuples $((t_0,\phi_0),\dots,(t_l,\phi_l))$ such that $t_0<\cdots<t_l$ and $\phi_i(B_{p,q})\cap\phi_j(B_{p,q})=\emptyset$ when $i\neq j$.

The spaces $K_l^\partial(M,a)\subset(\mathbb{R}\times\mathrm{Emb}(\widehat{V}_{p,q},M))^{l+1}$ are topologized using the C^∞-topology on the space of embeddings. The assignments $[l]\mapsto K_l^\partial(M,a)$ define a semi-simplicial space denoted by $K_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$. The ith face map $d_i:K_l^\partial(M,a)\longrightarrow K_{l-1}^\partial(M,a)$ is given by the formula

$$((t_0,\phi_0),\dots,(t_l,\phi_l))\ \mapsto\ ((t_0,\phi_0),\dots,\widehat{(t_i,\phi_i)},\dots,(t_l,\phi_l)),$$

i.e. by forgetting the ith entry in the l-tuple.

Finally, $\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}\subset K_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$ is defined to be the sub-semi-simplicial space consisting of all simplices $((\phi_0,t_0),\dots,(\phi_l,t_l))\in K_l^\partial(M,a)$ such that $\phi_i(\widehat{V}_{p,q})\cap\phi_j(\widehat{V}_{p,q})=\emptyset$ whenever $i\neq j$.

As in Remark 3.4, when working with $K_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$ and $\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$ we will drop the embedding a from the notation and write

$$\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}:=\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q},\quad K_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}:=K_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}.$$

It is easily verifiable that both $\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$ and $K_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}$ are topological flag complexes as defined in Definition 2.5. We will ultimately need to use the fact that the geometric realizations $|\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}|$ and $|K_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}|$ are highly connected. We prove that $|\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}|$ and $|K_\bullet^\partial(M,a)_{p,q}|$ are highly connected by comparing them to the simplicial complex $K^\partial(M)_{p,q}$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $(M;\partial_0M,\partial_1M)$ be an m-dimensional manifold triad with $\partial_0M\neq\emptyset$. Let $p,q\in\mathbb{N}$ be such that $p+q+1=m$ and suppose that the inequalities (3.6) are satisfied. Let $d=d(\pi_q(S^p))$ be the generating set rank and suppose that $r_{p,q}(M)\geq g$. Then the geometric realization $|K_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4-d)$-connected.*

Proof. Let $g\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be given. Theorem 3.5 implies that $\omega CM(K^\partial(M)_{p,q})\geq\frac{1}{2}(g-2-d)$. Consider the discretization $K_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}^\delta$. The correspondence

$$((\phi_0,t_0),\dots,(\phi_l,t_l))\mapsto\{(\phi_0,t_0),\dots,(\phi_l,t_l)\}\tag{3.7}$$

induces a map

$$|K_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}^\delta|\longrightarrow|K^\partial(M)_{p,q}|\tag{3.8}$$

which is easily seen to be a homeomorphism. Indeed, if $\sigma=\{(\phi_0,t_0),\dots,(\phi_l,t_l)\}\leq K^\partial(M)_{p,q}$ is a simplex, then $t_i\neq t_j$ if $i\neq j$, and so there is exactly one l-simplex in $K_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}^\delta$ with underlying set equal to σ. Furthermore, it can be seen that for every l-simplex $\sigma\in K_l^\partial(M)_{p,q}^\delta$, (3.8) maps the link $|K_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}(\sigma)|$ homeomorphically onto $|\,\mathrm{lk}_{K^\partial(M)_{p,q}^\delta}(\bar{\sigma})|$, where $\bar{\sigma}$ is the image of the simplex σ under (3.7). It follows that $\omega CM(K^\partial(M)_{p,q}^\delta)\geq\frac{1}{2}(g-2-d)$. We then apply Theorem 2.8 to conclude

that the geometric realization $|K^\partial(M)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4-d)$-connected. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Proposition 3.8. *For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ the inclusion $\bar{K}_l^\partial(M)_{p,q} \hookrightarrow K_l^\partial(M)_{p,q}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Thus, it follows that there is a weak homotopy equivalence $|\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}| \simeq |K_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}|$.*

Proof. Consider the isotopy $\rho_s : (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q}) \longrightarrow (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q})$ from (3.5). Let X be a compact space and let

$$((\phi_0^x, t_0^x), \ldots, (\phi_l^x, t_l^x)) \in K_l(M)_{p,q}, \quad x \in X,$$

be a family of l-simplices parametrized by the space X. By choosing $s \in [0, \infty)$ large enough, we obtain $(\phi_0^x \circ \rho_s, t_0^x)$, …, $(\phi_l^x \circ \rho_s, t_l^x)) \in \bar{K}_l^\partial(M)_{p,q}$ for all $x \in X$. It follows that $\bar{K}_l^\partial(M)_{p,q} \hookrightarrow K_l^\partial(M)_{p,q}$ induces a bijection $[X, \bar{K}_l^\partial(M)_{p,q}] \cong [X, K_l^\partial(M)_{p,q}]$ for any compact space X. This proves the proposition. □

By combining the last two results we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8.1. *Let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ be an m-dimensional manifold triad with $\partial_0 M \neq \emptyset$. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $p + q + 1 = m$ and suppose that the inequalities (3.6) are satisfied. Let $d = d(\pi_q(S^p))$ be the generating set rank and suppose that $r_{p,q}(M) \geq g$. Then the geometric realization $|\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4-d)$-connected.*

High-connectivity of the space $|\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M)_{p,q}|$ is the main ingredient needed for the proof of Theorem 1.5, which we carry out in Section 7. The main ingredient in proving Corollary 3.8.1 was Theorem 3.5. The next three sections then are geared toward developing all of the technical tools needed to prove Theorem 3.5.

4. The Algebraic Invariants

4.1. **Invariants of manifold pairs.** For what follows, let M be a compact manifold of dimension m with non-empty boundary. Let $A \subset \partial M$ be a submanifold of dimension $m-1$. We will keep M and A fixed throughout the entire section. Let p and q be positive integers with $p+q+1 = m$ and suppose further that the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$|q-p| < \min\{p, q\} - 2, \quad |q-p| < \min\{\kappa(A), \kappa(M, A)\} - 1, \tag{4.1}$$

where recall $\kappa(A)$ and $\kappa(M, A)$ denote the degrees of connectivity of A and (M, A) respectively. We will consider the homotopy groups $\pi_q(A)$ and $\pi_{p+1}(M, A)$. We will need to be able to represent elements of these homotopy groups by smooth embeddings. The next lemma follows by assembling several results from [8] and [15]; part (i) of the lemma follows by combining the results [8, Corollary 1.1] and [8, Corollary 2.1] and part (ii) follows by combining the results [15, Lemma 1] and [15, Proposition 1].

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a manifold of dimension m with non-empty boundary and let $A \subset \partial M$ be a submanifold of dimension $m-1$. Let p and q be positive integers such that $p+q+1 = m$ and suppose that (4.1) holds. We may then draw the following conclusions about the homotopy groups $\pi_{p+1}(M, A)$ and $\pi_q(A)$:*

(i) *Any element of* $\pi_{p+1}(M, A)$ *can be represented by an embedding* $(D^{p+1}, S^p) \longrightarrow (M, A)$, *unique up to isotopy.*

(ii) *Any element of* $\pi_q(A)$ *can be represented by an embedding* $S^q \longrightarrow A$, *unique up to regular homotopy through immersions.*

We first define a bilinear map

$$\tau^{\partial}_{p,q} : \pi_{p+1}(M, A) \otimes \pi_q(S^p) \longrightarrow \pi_q(A), \quad ([f], [\phi]) \mapsto [(f|_{\partial D^{p+1}}) \circ \phi], \tag{4.2}$$

where $f : (D^{p+1}, \partial D^{p+1}) \longrightarrow (M, A)$ represents a class in $\pi_{p+1}(M, A)$ and $\phi : S^q \longrightarrow S^p$ represents a class in $\pi_q(S^p)$. By the inequalities of (4.1), it follows from the *Freudenthal suspension theorem* that the suspension homomorphism $\Sigma : \pi_{q-1}(S^{p-1}) \longrightarrow \pi_q(S^p)$ is surjective. Thus it follows that the formula in (4.2) is indeed bilinear and thus $\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}$ is well defined.

We then have a bilinear intersection pairing

$$\lambda^{\partial}_{p,q} : \pi_{p+1}(M, A) \otimes \pi_q(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.3}$$

which is defined by sending a pair $([f], [g]) \in \pi_{p+1}(M, A) \otimes \pi_q(A)$ to the oriented algebraic intersection number associated to the maps $f|_{\partial D^{p+1}} : S^p \longrightarrow A$ and $g : S^q \longrightarrow A$, which we may assume are embeddings by Lemma 4.1.

We have a $(-1)^q$-symmetric bilinear pairing

$$\mu_q : \pi_q(A) \otimes \pi_q(A) \longrightarrow \pi_q(S^p) \tag{4.4}$$

defined in the same way as in [13, Construction 3.1]. We refer the reader there for the definition.

The next proposition shows how the maps $\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}$, $\lambda^{\partial}_{p,q}$, and μ_q are related to each other. The proof follows from [13, Proposition 3.4].

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $x \in \pi_p(M, A)$, $y \in \pi_q(A)$ *and* $z \in \pi_q(S^p)$. *Then the equation*

$$\mu_q(\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}(y, z), x) = \lambda^{\partial}_{p,q}(y, x) \cdot z$$

is satisfied.

Remark 4.3. We remark that the formula in Proposition 4.2 makes sense even in the case when $q < p$ and thus $\pi_q(S^p) = 0$.

We will also need to consider a function

$$\alpha_q : \pi_q(A) \longrightarrow \pi_{q-1}(SO_p) \tag{4.5}$$

defined by sending $x \in \pi_q(A)$ to the element in $\pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$ which classifies the normal bundle associated to an embedding $S^q \to A$ which represents x.

The map α_q is not in general a homomorphism. As will be seen in Proposition 4.4, the bilinear form μ_q measures the failure of α_q to preserve additivity. In order to describe the relationship between α_q and μ_q, we must define some auxiliary homomorphisms. Let

$$\bar{\pi}_q : \pi_{q-1}(SO_p) \longrightarrow \pi_q(S^p)$$

be the map given by the composition $\pi_{q-1}(SO_p) \longrightarrow \pi_{q-1}(S^{p-1}) \longrightarrow \pi_q(S^p)$, where the first map is induced by the bundle projection $SO_p \longrightarrow SO_p/SO_{p-1} \cong S^{p-1}$, and the second is the suspension homomorphism. Let

$$d_q : \pi_q(S^p) \longrightarrow \pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$$

be the boundary homomorphism associated to the fibre sequence $SO_p \longrightarrow SO_{p+1} \longrightarrow S^p$.

Proposition 4.4. *The following equations are satisfied for all $x, y \in \pi_q(A)$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_q(x+y) &= \alpha_q(x) + \alpha_q(y) + d_q(\mu_t(x,y)), \\ \mu_q(x,x) &= \bar{\pi}_q(\alpha_q(x)). \end{aligned}$$

We will also need the following proposition which describes how α_q and $\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}$ are related.

Proposition 4.5. *For all $(x,z) \in \pi_{p+1}(M,A) \times \pi_q(S^p)$, we have $\alpha_q(\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}(x,z)) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\partial_{p+1} : \pi_{p+1}(M,A) \longrightarrow \pi_p(A)$ denote the boundary map. In [13, Page 9] a bilinear map

$$F_{p,q} : \pi_{p-1}(SO_q) \otimes \pi_q(S^p) \longrightarrow \pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$$

is defined. By [13, Proposition 3.8] (combined with the definition of the map $\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}$) it follows that

$$\alpha_q(\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}(x,z)) = F_{p,q}(\alpha_p(\partial_{p+1}(x)),\ z)$$

for all $(x,z) \in \pi_{p+1}(M,A) \times \pi_q(S^p)$. Let $f : (D^{p+1}, \partial D^{p+1}) \longrightarrow (M,A)$ be an embedding that represents the class $x \in \pi_{p+1}(M,A)$. Notice that the normal bundle of $f(D^{p+1})$ is automatically trivial since the disk is contractible. It follows that $f|_{\partial D^{p+1}}(\partial D^{p+1}) \subset A$ has trivial normal bundle as well, and thus it follows that $\alpha_p(\partial_{p+1}(x)) = 0$ since $f|_{\partial D^{p+1}}$ represents the class $\partial_{p+1}x \in \pi_p(A)$. Since $F_{p,q}$ is bilinear, it follows that

$$\alpha_q(\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}(x,z)) \ = \ F_{p,q}(\alpha_p(\partial_{p+1}(x)),\ z) = \ F_{p,q}(\alpha_p(0),\ z) \ = \ 0$$

for all x and z. This concludes the proof of the proposition. □

Using the maps defined above we will work with the algebraic structure defined by the six-tuple

$$\left(\pi_{p+1}(M,A),\ \pi_q(A),\ \tau^{\partial}_{p,q},\ \lambda^{\partial}_{p,q},\ \mu_q,\ \alpha_q\right). \tag{4.6}$$

We refer to this structure as the *Wall form* associated to the pair (M,A). We summarize the salient properties of (4.6) in the following lemma. This lemma should be compared to [13, Lemma 3.9].

Lemma 4.6. *Let (M,A), p, and q be exactly as above. The maps*

- $\tau^{\partial}_{p,q} : \pi_{p+1}(M,A) \otimes \pi_q(S^p) \longrightarrow \pi_q(A)$,
- $\lambda^{\partial}_{p,q} : \pi_{p+1}(M,A) \otimes \pi_q(A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$,
- $\mu_q : \pi_q(A) \otimes \pi_q(A) \longrightarrow \pi_q(S^p)$,
- $\alpha_q : \pi_q(A) \longrightarrow \pi_{q-1}(SO_p)$,

satisfy the following conditions. For all $x, x' \in \pi_{p+1}(M,A)$, $y, y' \in \pi_q(A)$ and $z \in \pi_q(S^p)$ we have:

(i) $\lambda^{\partial}_{p,q}(x,\ \tau^{\partial}_{p,q}(x',z)) = 0$,

(ii) $\mu_q(\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}(x,z),\ y) \ = \ \lambda^{\partial}_{p,q}(x,\ y)\cdot z,$
(iii) $\alpha_q(y+y') \ = \ \alpha_q(y)+\alpha_q(y')+d_q(\mu_q(y,y')),$
(iv) $\mu_q(y,y)=\bar{\pi}_q(\alpha_q(y)),$
(v) $\alpha_q(\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}(x,z))=0\,.$

The next lemma follows directly from the definitions of the maps defined above.

Lemma 4.7. *Let M and N be manifolds of dimension m with non-empty boundary. Let $A\subset M$ and $B\subset N$ be submanifolds of dimension $m-1$. Let p and q be positive integers with $p+q+1=m$ and such that the inequalities of (4.1) hold, with respect to both pairs (M,A) and (N,B). Let*

$$\varphi:(N,B)\longrightarrow(M,A)$$

be an embedding. Then the maps $\pi_{p+1}(N,B)\longrightarrow\pi_{p+1}(M,A)$ and $\pi_q(B)\longrightarrow\pi_q(A)$ induced by the embedding φ preserve all values of $\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}$, $\lambda^{\partial}_{p,q}$, μ_q, and α_q.

4.2. **Modifying Intersections.** We will ultimately need to use $\lambda^{\partial}_{p,q}$ and μ_q to study intersections of embedded submanifolds. Let (M,A), p and q be exactly as in the previous section. For embeddings

$$f:(D^{p+1},S^p)\longrightarrow(M,A)\quad\text{and}\quad g:S^q\longrightarrow A,$$

the integer $\lambda_{p,q}([f],\ [g])$ is equal to the signed intersection number of $f(S^p)$ and $g(S^q)$ in A. Since A is simply-connected, by application of the *Whitney trick* [11, Theorem 6.6], one can deform f through a smooth isotopy to a new embedding $f':(D^{p+1},S^p)\to(M,A)$ such that $f'(S^p)$ and $g(S^p)$ intersect transversally in A at exactly $|\lambda^{\partial}_{p,q}(x,y)|$-many points, all with positive orientation. We now consider embeddings $f,g:S^q\to A$ whose images intersect transversally. The intersection $f(S^q)\cap g(S^q)$ is generically a $(q-p)$-dimensional closed manifold. We will need a higher dimensional analogue of the Whitney trick that applies to the intersection of such embeddings. The first proposition below follows from [17] and [7].

Theorem 4.8. (Wells, [17]) *Let $f,g:S^q\longrightarrow A$ be embeddings. Then there exists an isotopy $\Psi_t:S^q\to A$ with $\Psi_0=g$ and $\Psi_1(S^q)\cap f(S^q)=\emptyset$, if and only if $\mu_q([g],[f])=0\,.$*

We will also need a technique for manipulating the intersections of embeddings $(D^{p+1},\partial D^{p+1})\longrightarrow(M,A)$. The following theorem is a special case of what was proven in [2, Appendix B].

Theorem 4.9. *Let $f,g:(D^{p+1},S^p)\longrightarrow(M,A)$ be embeddings. Then there is an isotopy of embeddings $\Psi_t:(D^{p+1},S^p)\longrightarrow(M,A)$ such that $\Psi_0=f$ and $\Psi_1(D^{p+1})\cap g(D^{p+1})=\emptyset\,.$*

Remark 4.10. We emphasize that in Theorem 4.9 the embeddings f and g are completely arbitrary; the theorem holds for any two such embeddings so long as (M,A), p, q satisfy (4.1). Furthermore, we emphasize that the restriction $\Psi_t|_{S^p}$ is not in general the constant isotopy. The theorem would not be true if we insisted on keeping the restriction $\Psi_t|_{S^p}$ fixed for all t.

We will need to apply the above theorems inductively. For the statement of the next two results, let (M,A) and p and q be exactly as in the statements of the previous two theorems. The following corollary is proven in exactly the same way as [14, Corollary 7.5] using Theorem 4.8.

Corollary 4.10.1. *Let $f_0, \dots, f_m : S^q \longrightarrow A$ be a collection of embeddings such that:*

(i) *$\mu_q(f_0, f_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$;*
(ii) *the collection of embeddings $f_1, \dots, f_m$ is pairwise transverse.*

Then there exists an isotopy $\Psi_t : S^q \longrightarrow A$ with $t \in [0, q]$ and $\Psi_0 = f_0$, such that $\Psi_1(S^q) \cap f_i(S^q) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$.

The next corollary is proven in the same way as [2, Proposition 6.8] using Theorem 4.9.

Corollary 4.10.2. *Let $g_0, \dots, g_k : (D^{p+1}, \partial D^{p+1}) \longrightarrow (M, A)$ be a collection of embeddings such that the collection of submanifolds, $g_1(D^{p+1}), \dots, g_k(D^{p+1}) \subset M$, is pairwise transverse. Then there exists an isotopy*

$$\Psi_t : (D^{p+1}, \partial D^{p+1}) \longrightarrow (M, A), \quad t \in [0, 1]$$

with $\Psi_0 = g_0$, such that $\Psi_1(D^{p+1}) \cap g_i(D^{p+1}) = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

5. Wall Forms

We now formalize the algebraic structure studied in Section 4. The algebraic structure that we work with is a specialization of that from [13]. This section is mostly a recollection of results from [13, Section 5] and most of the proofs of the technical results are given in that paper.

5.1. **Wall forms.** We first must describe the category underlying our constructions. Fix a finitely generated Abelian group H. An object $\mathbf{M}$ in the category Ab^2_H is defined to be a pair of abelian groups $(\mathbf{M}_-, \mathbf{M}_+)$ equipped with a bilinear map, $\tau : \mathbf{M}_- \otimes H \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}_+$. We call such an object an *H-pair* and refer to Ab^2_H as the category of H-pairs. We call the abelian groups $\mathbf{M}_\pm$ the *component* groups of $\mathbf{M}$. A morphism $f : \mathbf{M} \to \mathbf{N}$ of H-pairs is defined to be a pair of group homomorphisms $f_- : \mathbf{M}_- \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}_-$ and $f_+ : \mathbf{M}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}_+$ that make the diagram,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{M}_- \otimes H & \xrightarrow{f_- \otimes \mathrm{Id}_H} & \mathbf{N}_- \otimes H \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{\mathbf{M}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{\mathbf{N}}} \\ \mathbf{M}_+ & \xrightarrow{f_+} & \mathbf{N}_+ \end{array}$$

commute. We will refer to morphisms in Ab^2_H as *H-maps*. It is clear that Ab^2_H is an additive category. Kernels, co-kernels, direct-sums, and direct-products are all formed component-wise. Direct-sums are equal to direct-products when taken over finite families of objects and thus the operation of binary direct-sum yields a bi-product.

There are two basic H-pairs that we will use to "probe" other H-pairs. We define the H-pair $\mathbf{P}^{(0)} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Ab}^2_H)$ by setting

$$\mathbf{P}^{(0)}_- := 0, \quad \mathbf{P}^{(0)}_+ := \mathbb{Z}, \quad \tau = 0, \tag{5.1}$$

and we define $\mathbf{P}^{(1)} \in \mathrm{Ob}(\mathrm{Ab}^2_H)$ by setting

$$\mathbf{P}^{(1)}_- := \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbf{P}^{(1)}_+ := H, \quad \tau(t, h) = t \cdot h. \tag{5.2}$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{P}^{(1)}$ are *projective* objects in Ab^2_H and that every finitely generated projective H-pair is a direct sum of copies of $\mathbf{P}^{(0)}$ and $\mathbf{P}^{(1)}$, although we will not explicitly need this fact.

Fix once and for all a finitely generated abelian group H. All of our constructions will take place in the category Ab^2_H. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be an abelian H-pair, equipped with homomorphisms $\partial : H \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_+$ and $\pi : \mathbf{G}_+ \longrightarrow H$. Then, let $\epsilon = \pm 1$. We call such a 4-tuple $(\mathbf{G}, \partial, \pi, \epsilon)$ a *form-parameter*. Now, fix a form-parameter $(\mathbf{G}, \partial, \pi, \epsilon)$ and let $\mathbf{M}$ be a finitely generated H-pair. Consider the following data:

- A bilinear map, $\lambda : \mathbf{M}_- \otimes \mathbf{M}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.
- An ϵ-symmetric bilinear form, $\mu : \mathbf{M}_+ \otimes \mathbf{M}_+ \longrightarrow H$.
- Functions, $\alpha_\pm : \mathbf{M}_\pm \longrightarrow \mathbf{G}_\pm$.

Definition 5.1. The 5-tuple $(\mathbf{M}, \lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ is said to be a *Wall form* with parameter $(\mathbf{G}, \partial, \pi, \epsilon)$ if the following conditions are satisfied for all $x,\ x' \in \mathbf{M}_-,\quad y,\ y' \in \mathbf{M}_+$, and $h \in H$:

(i) $\lambda(x, \tau_{\mathbf{M}}(x', h)) = 0$,
(ii) $\mu(\tau_{\mathbf{M}}(x, h), y) \ = \ \lambda(x, y) \cdot h$,
(iii) $\alpha_-(x + x') = \alpha_-(x) + \alpha_-(x')$,
(iv) $\alpha_+(y + y') = \alpha_+(y) + \alpha_+(y') + \partial(\mu(y, y'))$,
(v) $\mu(y, y) \ = \ \pi(\alpha_+(y))$,
(vi) $\alpha_+(\tau_{\mathbf{M}}(x, h)) \ = \ \tau_{\mathbf{G}}(\alpha_-(x), h)$.

The Wall form $(\mathbf{M}, \lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ is said to be *reduced* if α_- is identically zero. In this case of a reduced Wall form, condition (vi) then translates to $\alpha_+(\tau_{\mathbf{M}}(x, h)) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{M}_-$ and $h \in H$.

Notation 5.1. We will often denote a Wall form by its underlying H-pair, i.e. $\mathbf{M} := (\mathbf{M}, \lambda, \mu, \alpha)$. We will always use the same notation to denote the associated maps, λ, μ, α. If another Wall form is present, say $\mathbf{N}$, we will decorate the associated maps with subscripts and denote, $\lambda_{\mathbf{N}}, \mu_{\mathbf{N}}, \alpha_{\mathbf{N}}$ so that there is no ambiguity.

Definition 5.2. A *morphism* between Wall forms (with the same form-parameter) is an H-map $f : \mathbf{M} \longrightarrow \mathbf{N}$ that preserves all values of λ, μ, and α.

We will need notation for orthogonal complements. Let $\mathbf{N} \leq \mathbf{M}$. We define a new sub-Wall form $\mathbf{N}^\perp \leq \mathbf{M}$ by setting:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}^\perp_- \ &:= \ \{\, x \in \mathbf{M}_- \mid \lambda(x, w) = 0 \text{ for all } w \in \mathbf{N}_+ \}, \\ \mathbf{N}^\perp_+ \ &:= \ \{\, y \in \mathbf{M}_+ \mid \lambda(v, y) = 0 \text{ and } \mu(y, w) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in \mathbf{N}_-,\ w \in \mathbf{N}_+ \}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

It can be easily checked that $\tau(\mathbf{N}^\perp_- \otimes H) \leq \mathbf{N}^\perp_+$ and thus $\mathbf{N}^\perp$ actually is a sub-H-pair of $\mathbf{M}$. We call $\mathbf{N}^\perp$ the *orthogonal complement* to $\mathbf{N}$ in $\mathbf{M}$. Two sub-Wall forms $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}' \leq \mathbf{M}$ are said to be *orthogonal* if $\mathbf{N} \cap \mathbf{N}' = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{N} \leq (\mathbf{N}')^\perp$ and $\mathbf{N}' \leq \mathbf{N}^\perp$. The following proposition is proven in [13, Proposition 4.7].

Proposition 5.3. *Let* $\mathbf{N}$ *and* $\mathbf{M}$ *be Wall forms. There is a unique Wall form structure on the* H*-pair* $\mathbf{N} \oplus \mathbf{M}$ *such that the natural inclusions* $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{N} \oplus \mathbf{M}$ *are morphisms and such that* $\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{M} \leq \mathbf{N} \oplus \mathbf{M}$ *are orthogonal as sub-Wall forms.*

5.2. **The complex of Wall forms.** Fix a finitely generated Abelian group H. We first define an H-pair $\mathbf{W} := \mathbf{P}^{(0)} \oplus \mathbf{P}^{(1)}$. For $g \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by $\mathbf{W}^g$ the g-fold direct-sum $\mathbf{W}^{\oplus g}$. We let $\mathbf{W}$ denote the H-pair $\mathbf{W}^1$ and $\mathbf{W}^0$ is understood to be the zero H-pair $\mathbf{0}$. Recall,

$$\mathbf{P}^{(0)}_- = 0, \quad \mathbf{P}^{(0)}_+ = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbf{P}^{(1)}_- = \mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbf{P}^{(1)}_+ = H.$$

Fix elements $a \in \mathbf{W}_-$ and $b \in \mathbf{W}_+$ which correspond to $1 \in \mathbf{P}^{(1)}_- = \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \in \mathbf{P}^{(0)}_+ = \mathbb{Z}$ respectively. For $g \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by

$$a_i \in \mathbf{W}^g_- \quad \text{and} \quad b_i \in \mathbf{W}^g_+ \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, g \tag{5.4}$$

the elements that correspond to the elements a and b coming from the ith direct-summand of $\mathbf{W}$ in $\mathbf{W}^g$. Now fix a form-parameter $(\mathbf{G}, \partial, \pi, \epsilon)$. We endow $\mathbf{W}^g$ with the structure of a Wall form with parameter $(\mathbf{G}, \partial, \pi, \epsilon)$ by setting:

$$\lambda(a_i, b_j) = \delta_{i,j}, \quad \mu(b_i, b_j) = 0, \quad \alpha_+(b_i) = 0, \quad \alpha_-(a_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, \dots g. \tag{5.5}$$

These values together with the conditions imposed from Definition 5.1 determine the maps $\lambda, \mu,$ and α completely. The vanishing of $\alpha_-(a_i)$ for all i, implies that α_- is identically zero, thus $(\mathbf{W}^g, \lambda, \mu, \alpha)$ is a reduced Wall form with parameter $(\mathbf{G}, \partial, \pi, \epsilon)$ (the fact that $\alpha_+(b_i) = 0$ for all i does not imply that $\alpha_+ = 0$ however). We call this the *standard Wall form of rank* g with parameter $(\mathbf{G}, \pi, \partial, \epsilon)$.

In [13] it is shown that $\mathbf{W}^g$ is *non singular* in the sense of [13, Definition 4.5]. Furthermore it is shown that any morphism of Wall forms $f : \mathbf{W} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is split-injective and yields an orthogonal direct sum decomposition $f(\mathbf{W}) \oplus f(\mathbf{W})^\perp = \mathbf{M}$. One of the key algebraic properties proven in [13] (see [13, Lemma 5.3]) regarding the Wall form $\mathbf{W}^g$ is the following cancellation result.

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $k, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. *Given any morphism of Wall forms* $f : \mathbf{W}^k \longrightarrow \mathbf{W}^{g+k}$ *there is an isomorphism of Wall forms* $f(\mathbf{W}^k)^\perp \cong \mathbf{W}^g$.

We use the standard Wall form $\mathbf{W}$ to probe other Wall forms. We now define the *rank* of an arbitrary Wall form.

Definition 5.5. For a Wall form $\mathbf{M}$, the rank is defined to be the non-negative integer

$$r(\mathbf{M}) := \max\{\, g \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists a morphism } \mathbf{W}^g \to \mathbf{M} \,\}.$$

Similarly we define the *stable rank* to be the integer $\bar{r}(\mathbf{M}) := \max\{\, r(\mathbf{M} \oplus \mathbf{W}^g) - g \mid g \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

It follows immediately from the above definition that $r(\mathbf{M}) \geq \bar{r}(\mathbf{M})$ for any Wall form $\mathbf{M}$ and that $r(\mathbf{M} \oplus \mathbf{W}) \geq r(\mathbf{M}) + 1$ and $\bar{r}(\mathbf{M} \oplus \mathbf{W}) \geq \bar{r}(\mathbf{M}) + 1$. The advantage of using the stable rank is that it has the property stated in the next Proposition.

Proposition 5.6. *Let* $f : \mathbf{W} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}$ *be a morphism of Wall forms. Then* $\bar{r}(f(\mathbf{W})^\perp) \geq \bar{r}(\mathbf{M}) - 1$.

Proof. In [13] it is proven that any morphism $f : \mathbf{W} \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is split-injective and that there is an orthogonal direct-sum decomposition, $f(\mathbf{W})^{\perp} \oplus f(\mathbf{W}) = \mathbf{M}$. The proposition at hand follows immediately from this fact. □

We now define a simplicial complex which is the subject of the main result of the next section.

Definition 5.7. For a Wall form $\mathbf{M}$ let $L(\mathbf{M})$ be the simplicial complex whose vertices are given by morphisms $f : \mathbf{W} \to \mathbf{M}$. A set of vertices $\{f_0, \ldots, f_l\}$ is an l-simplex if the sub Wall forms, $f_0(\mathbf{W}), \ldots, f_l(\mathbf{W}) \leq \mathbf{M}$ are pairwise orthogonal.

One of the key technical results proven in [13] (see [13, Theorem 5.1]) is the theorem stated below. For the statement of this theorem, let d denote the generating set rank $d(H)$, which recall is the quantity $d(H) = \min\{\, k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists an epimorphism } \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus k} \longrightarrow H \,\}$.

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose that $\bar{r}(\mathbf{M}) \geq g$. Then $lCM(L(\mathbf{M})) \geq \frac{1}{2}(g-1-d)$ and the geometric realization $|L(\mathbf{M})|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4-d)$ connected.*

5.3. **The Wall form associated to a pair of manifolds.** Wall forms will arise for us in the following way. Let M be a compact oriented manifold of dimension m with non-empty boundary. Let $A \subset \partial M$ be a submanifold of dimension $m-1$. Let p and q be positive integers with $p+q+1 = m$. Suppose that p and q satisfy the inequalities

$$|q-p| < \min\{p,q\} - 2, \quad |q-p| < \min\{\kappa(A), \kappa(M,A)\} - 1, \tag{5.6}$$

from Section 4.1. We set $H = \pi_q(S^p)$ and denote by $\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,A)$ the H-pair given by setting,

$$\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,A)_- := \pi_{p+1}(M,A),$$
$$\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,A)_+ := \pi_q(A),$$

and then by setting τ equal to the bilinear map

$$\tau := \tau^{\partial}_{p,q} : \pi_{p+1}(M,A) \otimes \pi_q(S^p) \longrightarrow \pi_q(A)$$

from (4.2). We need to define a suitable form-parameter. Let $\mathbf{G}_{p,q}$ denote the abelian group $\pi_{p-1}(SO_q)$. The group $\mathbf{G}_{p,q}$ together with the maps from Proposition 4.4,

$$d_q : \pi_q(S^p) \to \pi_{q-1}(SO_p) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\pi}_q : \pi_{q-1}(SO_p) \to \pi_q(S^p),$$

make the 4-tuple $(\mathbf{G}_{p,q},\ d_q,\ \pi_q,\ (-1)^q)$ into a form parameter. It follows then directly from Lemma 4.6 that the 4-tuple

$$(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,A),\ \lambda^{\partial}_{p,q},\ \mu_q,\ \alpha_q) \tag{5.7}$$

is a reduced Wall form with form-parameter $(\mathbf{G}_{p,q},\ d_q,\ \pi_q, (-1)^q)$. We call the Wall form of (5.7) the *Wall form of degree (p,q) associated to (M,A)*. This construction should be compared to [13, Section 4.3]. The proposition below follows directly from Lemma 4.7.

Proposition 5.9. *Let M and N be m dimensional manifolds with non-empty boundary. Let $A \subset M$ and $B \subset N$ be submanifolds of dimension m. Let p and q be positive integers be such that $p+q+1 = m$, and suppose further that p and q satisfy the inequalities of (5.6), with respect to the*

pairs (M, A) *and* (N, B)*. Then any embedding* $\varphi : (N, B) \longrightarrow (M, A)$ *induces a unique morphism of Wall forms* $\varphi_* : \mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(N, B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, A)$.

The following proposition follows easily from the definition of the maps $\tau^{\partial}_{p,q}$, $\lambda^{\partial}_{p,q}$, μ_q, and α_q. Let (M, A) and (N, B) be exactly as in the previous proposition. Consider the pair $(M \natural N, A \# B)$ obtained by forming the boundary connected sum of M with N along embedded half-disks,

$$(D^{m+1}_+, \partial_0 D^{m+1}_+) \hookrightarrow (M, A) \quad \text{and} \quad (D^{m+1}_+, \partial_0 D^{m+1}_+) \hookrightarrow (N, B).$$

Proposition 5.10. *There is an isomorphism,* $\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M \natural N, A \# B) \;\cong\; \mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, A) \oplus \mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(N, B)$.

6. High Connectivity of $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$

6.1. **Comparison of simplicial complexes.** Let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ be an m-dimensional manifold triad with $\partial_0 M \neq \emptyset$. Let p and q be positive integers with $p + q + 1 = m$ and suppose that the inequalities of (4.1) are satisfied. In this section we will prove Theorem 3.5 which asserts that $|K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(r_{p,q}(M) - 4 - d)$-connected and that $lCM(K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(r_{p,q}(M) - 1 - d)$, where $d = d(\pi_q(S^p))$ is the generating set rank.

Notational Convention 6.1. By Definition 3.3, for any vertex $(\phi, t) \in K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ the number $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is determined by the embedding ϕ. From here forward in the paper, when denoting such a vertex we will drop the number t from the notation and denote $\phi := (\phi, t)$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.5 we will need to compare $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ to the complex $L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M))$ associated to the Wall form $\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M)$. Below, we will define a simplicial map

$$F_{p,q} : K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} \longrightarrow L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M)). \tag{6.1}$$

Constructing this map will require several steps. Recall the pair $(\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q})$. We will need to consider the Wall form $\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q})$. Recall from (3.4) the core

$$(D^{p+1} \vee S^q,\; S^p \vee S^q) \;\cong\; (B_{p,q}, C_{p,q}) \xhookrightarrow{\simeq} (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q}).$$

Let $(a_0, b_0) \in S^p \times S^q$ be the basepoint used in the construction of $(B_{p,q}, C_{p,q})$ from Construction 3.1. Let $\sigma \in \pi_{p+1}(\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q})$ be the class represented by the embedding

$$(D^{p+1} \times \{b_0\}, S^p \times \{b_0\}) \hookrightarrow (B_{p,q}, C_{p,q}) \hookrightarrow (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q}),$$

and let $\rho \in \pi_q(\widehat{W}_{p,q})$ be the class represented by the embedding

$$\{a_0\} \times S^q \hookrightarrow C_{p,q} \hookrightarrow \widehat{W}_{p,q}.$$

It follows from the construction of $\widehat{W}_{p,q}$ and $(B_{p,q}, C_{p,q})$ that $\lambda_{p,q}(\sigma, \rho) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_q(\rho) = 0$. Using this observation we may define a morphism of Wall forms

$$T_{p,q} : \mathbf{W} \;\longrightarrow\; \mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q}), \qquad a \mapsto \sigma, \quad b \mapsto \rho, \tag{6.2}$$

where $a \in \mathbf{W}_-$ and $b \in \mathbf{W}_+$ are the standard generators from (5.4). It follows from the definition of $\mathbf{W}$ that the above formula does indeed determine a well defined morphism of Wall forms and that

this morphism $T_{p,q}$ is an isomorphism. Combining this isomorphism together with Proposition 5.10 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 6.2. *There is an isomorphism of Wall forms* $\mathbf{W}^g \cong \mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(\widehat{V}^g_{p,q}, \widehat{W}^g_{p,q})$.

We are now ready to define the simplicial map $F_{p,q}$ from (6.1). Let $\phi \in K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ be a vertex. We define $F_{p,q}(\phi) \in L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M))$ to be the morphism of Wall forms given by the composition

$$\mathbf{W} \xrightarrow{T_{p,q}} \mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q}) \xrightarrow{\phi_*} \mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M) \tag{6.3}$$

where the second map ϕ_* is the morphism of Wall forms induced by the embedding ϕ. Using this morphism $T_{p,q}$ together with Proposition 5.10, it follows that $r(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M)) \geq r_{p,q}(M)$.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 3.5. We do this by applying Lemma 2.4 to the simplicial map $F_{p,q} : K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} \longrightarrow L(\mathcal{W}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M))$. In order to apply this lemma to $F_{p,q}$, we will need to define a suitable symmetric relation on the vertices of the complex $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$.

Definition 6.3. We define $\mathcal{T} \subset K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} \times K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ to be the subset consisting of those pairs (ϕ_1, ϕ_2) such that $\phi_1(B_{p,q})$ and $\phi_2(B_{p,q})$ are transverse in M.

Clearly the subset $\mathcal{T} \subset K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} \times K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ is a symmetric relation on the vertices. Furthermore, this relation is edge compatible, i.e. if the set $\{\phi_1, \phi_2\} \leq K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ is a 1-simplex then $(\phi_1, \phi_2) \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let $r_{p,q}(M) \geq g$ and let $d = d(\pi_q(S^p))$ be the generating set rank of $\pi_q(S^p)$. We will show that $|K(M)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4-d)$-connected and $lCM(K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(g-1-d)$. Since $r(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M)) \geq r_{p,q}(M) \geq g$, Theorem 5.8 implies that the space $|L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M))|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4-d)$-connected and that $lCM\left(L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M)\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}(g-1-d)$. The proof of the theorem will follow directly from Lemma 2.4 once we verify the following two properties:

(i) the map $F_{p,q}$ has the link lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{T}$ (see Definition 2.3),
(ii) $F_{p,q}(\mathrm{lk}_{K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}}(\zeta)) \leq \mathrm{lk}_{L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M)}(F_{p,q}(\zeta))$ for any simplex $\zeta \in K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$.

We begin by verifying property (i). Let $f : \mathbf{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M)$ be a morphism of Wall forms, i.e. a vertex of $L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M))$. Let $\phi_1 \ldots, \phi_k \in K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ be a collection of vertices, in general position with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, such that $F_{p,q}(\phi_i) \in \mathrm{lk}_{L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M))}(f)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Let $\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_m \in K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ be another arbitrary collection of vertices. To show that $F_{p,q}$ has the link lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, we will construct a vertex $\phi \in K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ with $F_{p,q}(\phi) = f$, such that $\phi_i \in \mathrm{lk}_{K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}}(\phi)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $(\phi_j, \phi) \in \mathcal{T}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$.

Let $\zeta : (D^{p+1}, S^p) \longrightarrow (M, \partial_1 M)$ and $\xi : S^q \longrightarrow \partial_1 M$ be embeddings that represent the classes

$$f_-(a) \in \pi_{p+1}(M, \partial_1 M) \quad \text{and} \quad f_+(b) \in \pi_q(\partial_1 M)$$

respectively (it follows from Lemma 4.1 that these classes may be represented by embeddings). Since the cores $\phi_1(B_{p,q}), \ldots, \phi_k(B_{p,q})$ are transverse and $F_{p,q}(\phi_i) \in \mathrm{lk}_{K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}}(f)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we may apply Corollary 4.10.1 and Corollary 4.10.2 to deform the embeddings ζ and ξ through isotopies to new embeddings ζ' and ξ' such that the images $\zeta'(D^{p+1})$ and $\xi'(S^q)$ are disjoint from

the cores $\phi_i(B_{p,q})$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Let $\partial_1 M'$ denote the complement $\partial_1 M \setminus \big(\cup_{i=1}^k \phi_i(C_{p,q})\big)$. Since $\lambda_{p,q}(f_-(a), f_+(b)) = 1$, we may apply the *Whitney trick* to deform $\xi' : S^q \longrightarrow \partial_1 M'$, through an isotopy of embeddings into $\partial_1 M'$, to a new embedding $\xi'' : S^q \longrightarrow \partial_1 M'$ with the property that $\zeta'(\partial D^{p+1})$ and $\xi''(S^q)$ intersect transversally at exactly one point in $\partial_1 M$. By *Sard's theorem* we may further arrange $\zeta'(D^{p+1})$ and $\xi''(S^q)$ to be transverse to each of the cores $\psi_1(B_{p,q}), \dots, \psi_m(B_{p,q})$ while keeping them disjoint from $\phi_1(B_{p,q}), \dots, \phi_k(B_{p,q})$.

It follows by the above construction that the pair of subspaces

$$\big(\zeta'(D^{p+1}) \cup \xi''(S^q),\ \zeta'(\partial D^{p+1}) \cup \xi''(S^q)\big)$$

is homeomorphic to the pair $(D^{p+1} \vee S^q,\ S^p \vee S^q)$. Now, both $\zeta'(S^p)$ and $\xi''(S^q)$ have trivial normal bundles in $\partial_1 M$; the normal bundle of $\xi''(S^q)$ is trivial because $\alpha_q(f_+(b)) = 0$ and the normal bundle of $\zeta'(S^p)$ is trivial because it bounds the disk $(\zeta'(D^{p+1}),\ \zeta'(S^p)) \subset (M, \partial_1 M)$ which must have trivial normal bundle since the disk is contractible. Let $U \subset \partial_1 M$ be a regular neighborhood of $\zeta'(S^p) \cup \xi''(S^q)$ (which is a wedge of a p-sphere with a q-sphere). The manifold U is diffeomorphic to the manifold obtained by forming the push-out of the digram

$$S^p \times D^q \xleftarrow{i_p \times \mathrm{Id}_{D^q}} D^p \times D^q \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_{D^p} \times i_q} D^p \times S^q,$$

where $i_p : D^p \hookrightarrow S^p$ and $i_q : D^q \hookrightarrow S^q$ are embeddings. It is easily seen that this push-out is diffeomorphic to $W_{p,q} = S^p \times S^q \setminus \mathrm{Int}(D^{p+q})$ after smoothing corners, thus we have a diffeomorphism $U \cong W_{p,q}$. By shrinking U down arbitrarily close to its "core" $\zeta'(S^p) \cup \xi'(S^q) \cong S^p \vee S^p$, we may assume that U is disjoint from $\phi_i(C_{p,q})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Let $\bar{U} \subset M$ be the submanifold diffeomorphic to $U \times [0,1]$ obtained by adding a collar to $U \subset \partial_1 M$ in M. We extend the embedding ζ' to an embedding $\bar{\zeta} : (D^{p+1} \times D^q,\ S^p \times D^q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial_1 M)$ such that $\bar{\zeta}(S^p \times D^q) \subset U$ and $\bar{\zeta}|_{S^p \times \{0\}} = \zeta'$. We Let $V \subset M$ be the subspace obtained by forming the union of $\bar{U}$ with $\bar{\zeta}(D^{p+1} \times D^q)$. By shrinking $\bar{\zeta}(D^{p+1} \times D^q)$ down to $\bar{\zeta}(D^{p+1} \times \{0\})$, we may assume that V is again disjoint from $\phi_i(B_{p,q})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. By Proposition 6.4 there is a diffeomorphism $V \cong V_{p,q} = D^{p+1} \times S^q$. Furthermore, the boundary of V has the decomposition $\partial V = \partial_0 V \cup \partial_1 V$, with $\partial_1 V = \partial V \cap \partial_1 M$ and $\partial_0 V = \partial V \setminus \mathrm{Int}(\partial_1 V)$. We have diffeomorphisms $\partial_1 V \cong W_{p,q}$ and $\partial_0 V \cong D^{p+q}$. Using these identifications

$$V \cong V_{p,q}, \quad \partial_0 V \cong D^{p+q}, \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_1 V \cong W_{p,q},$$

we obtain an embedding $(V_{p,q}, W_{p,q}) \hookrightarrow (M, \partial_1 M)$ with image equal to $(V, \partial_1 V) \subset (M, \partial_1 M)$.

We then choose an embedding $\gamma : [0,1] \hookrightarrow \partial_1 M$, disjoint from $\phi_i(B_{p,q})$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, and with $\gamma(0) \in \partial_{0,1} V$ and $\gamma(1) \in \partial_0 M$. Taking the union of a thickening of this arc with V yields an embedding $(\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q}) \longrightarrow (M, \partial_1 M)$ that satisfies condition i. of Definition 3.3. This in turn yields a vertex $\phi \in K^\partial(M)_{p,q}$ with $F_{p,q}(\phi) = f$ such that $\phi(B_{p,q}) \cap \phi_i(B_{p,q}) = \emptyset$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. It follows that ϕ_i is contained in the link of ϕ for $i = 1, \dots, k$. By construction, $\phi(B_{p,q})$ is transverse to $\psi_j(B_{p,q})$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$. This proves that the map $F_{p,q}$ has the link lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{T}$.

By Lemma 2.4 it follows that the induced map $\pi_i(|K^\partial(M)_{p,q}|) \longrightarrow \pi_i(|L(\mathcal{W}^\partial_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M)|)$ is injective for all $i < \frac{1}{2}(g - 1 - d)$ and thus $|K^\partial(M)_{p,q}|)$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g - 4 - d)$-connected. In order to

conclude that $lCM(K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(g-1-d)$, we need to establish property (ii). We need to verify that $F(\mathrm{lk}_{K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}}(\zeta)) \leq \mathrm{lk}_{L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M)}(F_{p,q}(\zeta))$ for any simplex $\zeta \in K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$. This property follows immediately from the fact that if $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ are such that $\phi_1(B_{p,q}) \cap \phi_2(B_{p,q}) = \emptyset$, then the morphisms of Wall forms $F_{p,q}(\phi_1)$ and $F_{p,q}(\phi_2)$ are orthogonal. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

There is one claim in the above proof that still needs verification, namely that the manifold V that we constructed is diffeomorphic to $V_{p,q}$. We now prove that claim. Pick a base point $(a,b) \in S^p \times S^q$ such that $(S^p \times \{b\}) \cup (\{a\} \times S^q) \subset W_{p,q} = S^p \times S^q \setminus \mathrm{Int}(D^{p+q})$. Let $f : S^p \longrightarrow W_{p,q}$ be the embedding given by the inclusion

$$S^p \hookrightarrow S^p \times \{b\} \hookrightarrow (S^p \times \{b\}) \cup (\{a\} \times S^q) \hookrightarrow W_{p,q}.$$

This embedding has a trivial normal bundle. Let $f' : S^p \times D^q \longrightarrow W_{p,q}$ be an embedding with $f'|_{S^p \times \{0\}} = f$. Finally let $\bar{f} : S^p \times D^q \longrightarrow W_{p,q} \times [0,1]$ denote the embedding given by

$$S^p \times D^q \xrightarrow{f'} W_{p,q} \hookrightarrow W_{p,q} \times \{1\} \hookrightarrow W_{p,q} \times [0,1].$$

Let V denote the manifold obtained by attaching the handle $D^{p+1} \times D^q$ to $W_{p,q} \times [0,1]$ along the embedding $\bar{f}$, i.e.

$$V \;=\; (W_{p,q} \times [0,1]) \bigcup_{\bar{f}} \left(D^{p+1} \times D^q\right).$$

The boundary of $W_{p,q}$ has the decomposition $\partial V = (W_{p,q} \times \{0\}) \cup (\partial W_{p,q}) \times [0,1]) \cup W'$ where W' is the manifold obtained from $W_{p,q}$ by performing surgery along the embedding $\bar{f}$. Consequentially the manifold W' is diffeomorphic to a disk D^{p+q} and so ∂V is diffeomorphic to $W_{p,q}$. The following proposition was used in the above proof of Theorem 3.5.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy the inequality $|q-p| < \min\{p,q\} - 2$. Then the manifold V constructed above is diffeomorphic to $D^{p+1} \times S^q = V_{p,q}$.*

Proof. Let $g : S^q \longrightarrow V$ be the embedding given by the inclusion

$$S^q \hookrightarrow \{a\} \times S^q \hookrightarrow W_{p,q} \hookrightarrow W_{p,q} \times \{\tfrac{1}{2}\} \hookrightarrow W_{p,q} \times [0,1] \hookrightarrow V$$

This embedding has trivial normal bundle and so extends to an embedding

$$\bar{g} : D^{p+1} \times S^q \longrightarrow \mathrm{Int}(V).$$

Furthermore, $\bar{g}$ induces an isomorphism on homology and thus is a homotopy equivalence since V is simply connected. Let X denote the complement $V \setminus \mathrm{Int}(\bar{g}(D^{p+1} \times S^q))$. The boundary of X decomposes as the disjoint union $\partial X = \partial V \sqcup \partial \bar{g}(D^{p+1} \times S^q)$. By excision we have the isomorphism $0 = H_i(V, \bar{g}(D^{p+1} \times S^q)) \;\cong\; H_i(X, \partial \bar{g}(D^{p+1} \times S^q))$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and then by *Lefschetz duality* we obtain

$$0 \;=\; H_i(X, \partial \bar{g}(D^{p+1} \times S^q)) \;\cong\; H^i(X, \partial V) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Since X and ∂V are simply connected, it follows that X is an h-cobordism between ∂V and $\partial \bar{g}(D^{p+1} \times S^q)$. Since $p+q+1 \geq 6$, it follows by the *H-cobordism theorem* that X is diffeomorphic to the cylinder $\partial V \times [0,1]$. By shrinking down this cylinder, it follows that the embedding $\bar{g}$:

$D^{p+1} \times S^q \longrightarrow V$ is isotopic to a diffeomorphism $D^{p+1} \times S^q \cong V$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

With the above proposition established, the proof of Theorem 3.5 is now complete. By Corollary 3.8.1, it now follows that the geometric realization $|\bar{K}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}[g - 4 - d(\pi_q(S^p))]$-connected whenever $r_{p,q}(M) \geq g$.

6.2. **Transitivity and cancelation.** We now derive two important corollaries of Theorem 3.5 analogous to [3, Corollaries 4.4 and 4.5]. Let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ and p and q be as in the previous section.

Proposition 6.5 (Transitivity)**.** *Suppose that the complex $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ is path-connected. Then given any two vertices $\phi_0, \phi_1 \in K(M)_{p,q}$, there exists a diffeomorphism $f : M \longrightarrow M$ (with $f(\partial_i M) = \partial_i M$) such that $f \circ \phi_0 = \phi_1$ and that the restriction $f|_{\partial_0 M} : \partial_0 M \longrightarrow \partial_0 M$ is isotopic to the identity.*

Proof. We first prove the statement in the case that $\phi_0(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) \cap \phi_1(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) = \emptyset$. Choose a collar embedding $h : \partial_0 M \times [0,1] \longrightarrow M$ with $h^{-1}(\partial_0 M) = \partial_0 M \times \{0\}$ and $h^{-1}(\partial_1 M) = \partial_{0,1} M \times [0,1]$. Let us also assume that the collar is "thin enough" so that for each $i = 0, 1$, the intersection

$$h(\partial_0 M \times [0,1]) \cap \phi_i(\widehat{V}_{p,q})$$

is diffeomorphic $[0,1] \times D^{p+q}_+$ and thus $h(\partial_0 M \times \{1\}) \cap \phi_i(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) \cong D^{p+q}_+$. It will suffice to find a diffeomorphism $\varphi : U \cup \phi_0(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) \cup \phi_1(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) \longrightarrow U \cup \phi_0(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) \cup \phi_1(\widehat{V}_{p,q})$, that is isotopic to the identity when restricted to $h(\partial_0 M \times \{0\}) \subset U$, such that $\varphi(\phi_0(\widehat{V}_{p,q})) = \phi_1(\widehat{V}_{p,q})$. With φ constructed the desired diffeomorphism $f : M \longrightarrow M$ then exists by the isotopy extension theorem. The diffeomorphism φ can be built "by hand". Its existence follows from the existence of a diffeomorphism of $h(\partial_0 M \times \{1\})$, isotopic to the identity, that exchanges the half-disks

$$h(\partial_0 M \times \{1\}) \cap \phi_0(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) \quad \text{and} \quad h(\partial_0 M \times \{1\}) \cap \phi_1(\widehat{V}_{p,q}).$$

We leave the details of the construction to the reader.

Now consider the case where the embeddings ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 merely have disjoint cores, i.e.

$$\phi_0(B_{p,q}) \cap \phi_1(B_{p,q}) = \emptyset.$$

Recall the isotopy $\rho_t : (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q}) \longrightarrow (\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \widehat{W}_{p,q})$ from (3.5). For some $T \in (0, \infty)$, the embeddings $\phi_0 \circ \rho_T$ and $\phi_1 \circ \rho_T$ are disjoint. By the isotopy extension theorem, we find diffeomorphisms

$$\psi_i : M \longrightarrow M$$

(both isotopic to the identity) such that the embeddings $\psi_i \circ \phi_i$ are disjoint for $i = 0, 1$. By the construction in the previous paragraph, we find a diffeomorphism $\Psi : M \longrightarrow M$ such that $\Psi \circ \psi_0 \circ \phi_0 = \psi_1 \circ \phi_1$. It follows then that $\psi_1^{-1} \circ \Psi \circ \psi_0 : M \longrightarrow M$ is the required diffeomorphism that exchanges the embeddings ϕ_0 and ϕ_1.

To prove the proposition in the general case we do the following. We define an equivalence relation on the vertices of the simplicial complex $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ by declaring two vertices ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 to be equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $f : M \longrightarrow M$, isotopic to the identity when restricted to $\partial_0 M$, such that $f \circ \phi_0 = \phi_1$. Above, we have proven that ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 are equivalent if they

are connected by a 1-simplex in $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$. If the complex $K^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ is path-connected, then any two vertices are connected by a zig-zag of 1-simplices and thus it follows that any two vertices are equivalent under this equivalence relation. This concludes the proof of the proposition. □

For the next result we will need to consider the boundary connected sum $M\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q)$. This boundary connected sum is assumed to be formed along a disk embedded in the interior of the face $\partial_1 N \subset \partial M$. In this way, $\partial_0 N$ remains untouched and the boundary of $M\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q)$ decomposes as $\partial(M\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q)) = \partial_0 M \cup (\partial_1 M \# (S^p \times S^q))$. Let $(N; \partial_0 N, \partial_1 N)$ be another $(p+q+1)$-dimensional manifold triad.

Corollary 6.5.1 (Cancelation)**.** *Let $\partial_0 M$ and $\partial_0 N$ be equipped with a specified identification $P = \partial_0 M = \partial_0 N$, for some manifold P. Suppose that there exists a diffeomorphism*

$$f : M\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q) \xrightarrow{\cong} N\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q)$$

with $f|_P = Id_P$. If the complex $K^{\partial}(M\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q))_{p,q}$ is path connected, then there exists a diffeomorphism $f' : M \xrightarrow{\cong} N$ with $f'|_P = Id_P$.

Proof. Suppose that $K^{\partial}(M\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q))_{p,q}$ is path connected. First fix a collar embedding $h : P\times[0,1] \hookrightarrow N$ such that $h^{-1}(P) = P\times\{0\}$. Let

$$\phi_0 : V_{p,q} \longrightarrow M\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_1 : V_{p,q} \longrightarrow N\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q)$$

be the canonical embeddings coming from the boundary connected sum factors of $D^{p+1}\times S^q$. By Proposition 6.5 there is a diffeomorphism $\psi : N\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q) \longrightarrow N\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q)$ with $\psi\circ f\circ\phi_0 = \phi_1$, such that $\phi|_P$ is isotopic to Id_P. By running the isotopy between $\phi|_P$ and Id_P over the collar $h(P\times[0,1]) \subset N$, we may assume that $\phi|_P = \mathrm{Id}_P$. By restricting $\psi\circ f$, we obtain a diffeomorphism $M\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q)\setminus\phi_0(\mathrm{Int}(V_{p,q})) \xrightarrow{\cong} N\natural(D^{p+1}\times S^q)\setminus\phi_1(\mathrm{Int}(V_{p,q}))$ relative to P, and thus a diffeomorphism $M \xrightarrow{\cong} N$ relative to P. This concludes the proof of the corollary. □

7. Homological Stability

With our main technical result Theorem 3.5 established, in this final section we show how this theorem implies our main homological stability theorem, Theorem 1.5.

7.1. **A Model for** $\mathrm{BDiff}(M, \partial_0 M)$**.** Let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ be a compact manifold triad of dimension m with $\partial_0 M$ and $\partial_1 M$ non-empty. We construct a concrete model for $\mathrm{BDiff}(M, \partial_0 M)$. Fix once and for all an embedding, $\theta : (\partial_0 M, \partial_{0,1} M) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\infty_+,\ \partial\mathbb{R}^\infty_+)$ and let $(S, \partial S)$ denote the submanifold pair $(\theta(\partial_0 M),\ \theta(\partial_{0,1} M)) \subset (\mathbb{R}^\infty_+, \partial\mathbb{R}^\infty_+)$.

Definition 7.1. We define $\mathcal{M}(M)$ to be the set of compact m-dimensional submanifold triads

$$(M'; \partial_0 M', \partial_1 M') \ \subset\ \big([0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^\infty_+;\ \{0\}\times\mathbb{R}^\infty_+,\ [0,\infty)\times\partial\mathbb{R}^\infty_+\big)$$

such that:

(i) $(\partial_0 M', \partial_{0,1} M') = (S, \partial S)$;

(ii) $(M',\ \partial_1 M')$ contains $([0,\epsilon)\times S,\ [0,\varepsilon)\times\partial S)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$;

(iii) $(M'; \partial_0 M', \partial_0 M')$ is diffeomorphic to $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}(M)$ the space of collared embeddings

$$\psi : (M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M) \longrightarrow \left([0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^\infty_+;\ \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^\infty_+,\ [0,\infty) \times \partial\mathbb{R}^\infty_+\right),$$

topologized in the C^∞-topology. The space $\mathcal{M}(M)$ is topologized as a quotient of the space $\mathcal{E}(M)$ where two embeddings are identified if they have the same image.

It follows from Definition 7.1 that $\mathcal{M}(M)$ is equal to the orbit space, $\mathcal{E}(M)/\operatorname{Diff}(M, \partial_0 M)$. By [1], the quotient map $\mathcal{E}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}(M)/\operatorname{Diff}(M, \partial_0 M) = \mathcal{M}(M)$ is a locally trivial fibre-bundle. This together with the fact that $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is weakly contractible implies that there is a weak-homotopy equivalence, $\mathcal{M}(M) \simeq \operatorname{BDiff}(M, \partial_0 M)$.

Now let p and q be positive integers with $p + q + 1 = m$. Recall from the introduction the manifold $K_{p,q}$, given by forming the boundary connected sum of $[0,1] \times \partial_0 M$ with $D^{p+1} \times S^q$, along the face $\partial_{0,1} M \times [0,1]$. Let us denote $\partial_0 K_{p,q} = \{0,1\} \times \partial_0 M$ and $\partial_1 K_{p,q} = [0,1] \times \partial_{0,1} M$. In this way $(K_{p,q}, \partial_1 K_{p,q})$ is a relative cobordism from $(\partial_0 M \times \{0\}, \partial_{0,1} M \times \{0\})$ to $(\partial_0 M \times \{1\}, \partial_{0,1} M \times \{1\})$. Choose a collared embedding

$$\alpha : (K_{p,q};\ \partial_0 K_{p,q},\ \partial_1 K_{p,q}) \ \longrightarrow\ ([0,1] \times \mathbb{R}^\infty_+;\ \{0,1\} \times \mathbb{R}^\infty_+,\ [0,1] \times \partial\mathbb{R}^\infty_+)$$

such that, for $(i,x) \in \{0,1\} \times \partial_0 M = \partial_0 K_{p,q}$, the equation $\alpha(i,x) = (i, \theta(x))$ is satisfied. For any submanifold $M' \subset [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^\infty_+$, denote by $M' + e_1 \subset [1,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^\infty_+$ the submanifold obtained by translating M' over 1-unit in the first coordinate. For $M' \in \mathcal{M}(M)$, the submanifold

$$\alpha(K_{p,q}) \cup (M' \cup e_1) \ \subset\ [0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^\infty_+$$

is an element of $\mathcal{M}(M \cup_{\partial_0} K_{p,q})$. Thus, we have a continuous map,

$$s_{p,q} : \mathcal{M}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(M \cup_{\partial_0} K_{p,q}); \quad M' \mapsto \alpha(K_{p,q}) \cup (M' + e_1). \tag{7.1}$$

The construction of $s_{p,q}$ depends on the choice of embedding α. However, any two such embeddings are isotopic and thus it follows that the homotopy class of $s_{p,q}$ does not depend on any of the choices made. Using the weak homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{M}(M) \simeq \operatorname{BDiff}(M, \partial_0 M)$, it follows easily that the homotopy class of the map $s_{p,q}$ defined above agrees with the homotopy class of the stabilization map $\operatorname{BDiff}(M, \partial_0 M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{BDiff}(M \cup_{\partial_0} K_{p,q}, \partial_0 M)$ defined in the introduction.

7.2. **A Semi-Simplicial Resolution.** Let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ be an m-dimensional manifold triad as in Section 7.1. We now construct, for each pair $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p + q + 1 = m$, an augmented semi-simplicial space $X^\partial_\bullet(M)_{p,q} \longrightarrow X^\partial_{-1}(M)_{p,q}$ with $X^\partial_{-1}(M)_{p,q} = \mathcal{M}(M)$, such that the induced map $|X^\partial_\bullet(M)_{p,q}| \longrightarrow X^\partial_{-1}(M)_{p,q}$ is highly connected. Recall the topological flag complex $\bar{K}^\partial(M)_{p,q}$ and the submanifold pair $(S,\ \partial S) = (\theta(\partial_0 M),\ \theta(\partial_{0,1} M)) \ \subset\ (\mathbb{R}^\infty_+,\ \partial\mathbb{R}^\infty_+)$ used in the definition of $\mathcal{M}(M)$.

Definition 7.2. Choose once and for all a coordinate patch $c : (\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+,\ \partial\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+) \hookrightarrow (S,\ \partial S)$. Such a coordinate patch induces for each $M' \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ a germ of an embedding $[0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+ \longrightarrow M'$ as in the definition of $\bar{K}^\partial(M')_{p,q}$ (see Definition 3.6). For each non-negative integer l, let $X^\partial_l(M)$ be

the set of pairs (M', ϕ) where $M' \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ and $\phi \in \bar{K}_l^{\partial}(M')_{p,q}$. The space $X_l^{\partial}(M)$ is topologized as the quotient,

$$X_l^{\partial}(M) = (\mathcal{E}(M) \times \bar{K}_l^{\partial}(M)_{p,q})/\operatorname{Diff}(M, \partial_0 M).$$

The assignments $[l] \mapsto X_l^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ make $X_{\bullet}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ into a semi-simplicial space where the face maps are induced by the face maps in $\bar{K}_{\bullet}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$. For each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there is a projection map

$$X_l^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(M), \quad (V, \phi) \mapsto V. \tag{7.2}$$

We set $X_{-1}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} := \mathcal{M}(M)$. The maps (7.2) assemble to yield an augmented semi-simplicial space

$$\epsilon_{p,q} : X_{\bullet}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} \longrightarrow X_{-1}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}.$$

By construction, the projection maps $X_l^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} \longrightarrow X_{-1}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ are locally trivial fibre-bundles with fibre given by $\bar{K}_l^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$. By passing to geometric realization we obtain the fibre-sequence $|\bar{K}_{\bullet}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}| \to |X_{\bullet}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}| \to X_{-1}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$. This fibre-sequence combined with Corollary 3.8.1 implies:

Corollary 7.2.1. *The map $|\epsilon_{p,q}| : |X_{\bullet}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}| \longrightarrow X_{-1}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} = \mathcal{M}(M)$ induced by the augmentation is $\frac{1}{2}(r_{p,q}(M) - 2 - d)$-connected.*

7.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.5.** We now will show how to use the augmented semi-simplicial space $\epsilon_{p,q} : X_{\bullet}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q} \to X_{-1}^{\partial}(M)_{p,q}$ to complete the proof of Theorem 1.5. First, we fix some new notation which will make the steps of the proof easier to state. For what follows let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ be a compact m-dimensional manifold triad with non-empty boundary. As in the previous sections, choose positive integers p and q with $p + q + 1 = m$ such that

$$|q - p| < \min\{p, q\} - 2, \quad |q - p| < \min\{\kappa(\partial_1 M), \kappa(M, \partial_1 M)\} - 1.$$

Let d denote the generating set rank $d(\pi_q(S^p))$. We work with the same choice of p and q throughout the entire section. For each $g \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by M_g the manifold obtained by forming the boundary connected-sum of M with $(D^{p+1} \times S^q)^{\#g}$ along the face $\partial_1 M$. Clearly we have $r_{p,q}(M_g) \geq g$. We consider the spaces $\mathcal{M}(M_g)$. For each $g \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the stabilization map $s_{p,q} : \mathcal{M}(M_g) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(M_{g+1})$. Theorem 1.5 translates to the following statement:

Theorem 7.3. *The induced map $(s_{p,q})_* : H_k(\mathcal{M}(M_g); \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_k(\mathcal{M}(M_{g+1}); \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism when $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 3 - d)$ and an epimorphism when $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 1 - d)$.*

Since $r_{p,q}(M_g) \geq g$, Corollary 7.2.1 implies that the map $|\epsilon_{p,q}| : |X_{\bullet}^{\partial}(M_g)_{p,q}| \longrightarrow X_{-1}^{\partial}(M_g)_{p,q}$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g - 2 - d)$-connected. With this established, the proof of Theorem 7.3 proceeds in the same way as in [3, Section 5]. We provide an outline for how to complete the proof and refer the reader to [3, Section 5] for details. For each pair of integers $g, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $k < g$, there is a map

$$F_k^g : \mathcal{M}(M_{g-k-1}) \longrightarrow X_k^{\partial}(M_g)_{p,q} \tag{7.3}$$

defined as follows. Let $K_{p,q}^k \subset [0, k+1] \times \mathbb{R}_+^{\infty}$ denote the $(k+1)$-fold concatenation of the submanifold $\alpha(K_{p,q}) \subset [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+^{\infty}$ used in the construction of stabilization map (7.1), i.e.

$$K_{p,q}^k \;=\; \alpha(K_{p,q}) \cup [\alpha(K_{p,q}) + e_1] \cup \cdots \cup [\alpha(K_{p,q}) + k \cdot e_1].$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we fix a k-simplex $(\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_k) \in \bar{K}^{\partial}(K^k_{p,q})_{p,q}$. The map F^g_k from (7.3) is defined by the formula

$$F^g_k(M') \;=\; \Big((\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_k),\;\; K^k_{p,q} \cup [(k+1)\cdot e_1 + M']\Big)\,.$$

It follows directly from the definition of F^g_k that for each pair $k < g$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{M}(M_{g-k-1}) & \xrightarrow{\quad S_{p,q} \quad} & \mathcal{M}(M_{g-k}) \\ \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle F^g_k} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle F^g_k} \\ X^{\partial}_k(M_g)_{p,q} & \xrightarrow{\quad d_k \quad} & X^{\partial}_{k-1}(M_g)_{p,q} \end{array} \tag{7.4}$$

is commutative. The following proposition is proven similarly to [3, Propositions 5.3 and 5.5] using the transitivity and cancelation results Proposition 6.5 and Corollary 6.5.1 (see also [4, Proposition 6.7]). We give a sketch of the proof and refer the reader to these analogous results from [3] and [4] for details.

Proposition 7.4. *Let $g \geq 4 + d$. We have the following:*

(i) *For each $k < g$, the map $F^g_k : \mathcal{M}(M_{g-k-1}) \longrightarrow X^{\partial}_k(M_g)_{p,q}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

(ii) *The face maps $d_i : X^{\partial}_k(M_g)_{p,q} \longrightarrow X^{\partial}_{k-1}(M_g)_{p,q}$ are weakly homotopic.*

Proof Sketch. To prove (i) consider the map

$$X^{\partial}_k(M_g)_{p,q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Emb}(\sqcup^k_{i=0}\widehat{V}_{p,q},\; [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{\infty}_+), \quad \big((\phi_0,\dots,\phi_k),\; M'\big) \;\mapsto\; i_{M'} \circ (\sqcup^k_{i=0}\phi_i),$$

where $i_{M'} : M' \hookrightarrow [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{\infty}_+$ is the inclusion map. This map is a Serre fibration with weakly contractible target space, thus it follows that the inclusion of any fibre into $X^{\partial}_k(M_g)_{p,q}$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Let $(\zeta_0, \dots, \zeta_k)$ be the list of embeddings $\widehat{V}_{p,q} \hookrightarrow K^k_{p,q} \hookrightarrow [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{\infty}_+$ used to define F^g_k. Then the fibre over the element $\sqcup^k_{i=0}\zeta_i \in \mathrm{Emb}(\sqcup^k_{i=0}\widehat{V}_{p,q},\; [0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}^{\infty}_+)$ is the subspace of $\mathcal{M}(M_g)$ consisting all submanifolds M' that contain the image $\zeta_0(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \zeta_k(\widehat{V}_{p,q})$. By the cancelation result Corollary 6.5.1 it follows that this space is weak homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{M}(M_{g-k-1})$. Furthermore, the inclusion map of this fibre can be identified with the map F^g_k. This concludes the proof of part (i).

We now give a sketch of the proof of part (ii). We will show that the maps $d_0, d_1 : X^{\partial}_1(M_g)_{p,q} \longrightarrow X^{\partial}_0(M_g)_{p,q}$ are weakly homotopic. The general case follows by a similar argument. For $i = 0, 1$, let us write $f_i : \mathcal{M}(M_{g-2}) \longrightarrow X^{\partial}_0(M_g)_{p,q}$ for the composition of $d_i : X^{\partial}_1(M_g)_{p,q} \longrightarrow X^{\partial}_0(M_g)_{p,q}$ with the weak homotopy equivalence $F^g_1 : \mathcal{M}(M_{g-2}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} X^{\partial}_1(M_g)_{p,q}$ from the first part of the proof. We will show that f_0 and f_1 are homotopic. Consider the submanifold $V_{p,q} \subset \widehat{V}_{p,q}$, where recall that $\widehat{V}_{p,q} \setminus \mathrm{Int}(V_{p,q}) = [0,1] \times D^{p+q}_+$. Choose a diffeomorphism $\psi : K^1_{p,q} \longrightarrow K^1_{p,q}$ that satisfies:

(a) $\psi|_{\partial_0 K^1_{p,q}} = \mathrm{Id}_{\partial_0 K^1_{p,q}}$;

(b) $\psi \circ \zeta_0|_{V_{p,q}} = \zeta_1|_{V_{p,q}}$;

(c) the zero simplices $\psi \circ \zeta_0$ and ζ_1 are in the same path component of the space $\bar{K}^{\partial}_0(K^1_{p,q})$.

(The existence of such a diffeomorphism that satisfies (a) and (b) follows from Proposition 6.5. See [3, Page 15] for how to choose ψ so as to satisfy condition (c).) Now for $i = 0, 1$, the map $f_i : \mathcal{M}(M_{g-2}) \longrightarrow X_0^\partial(M_g)_{p,q}$ is given by the formula, $M' \mapsto \big(K^1_{p,q} \cup (2 \cdot e_1 + M'),\ \zeta_i\big)$. Let $\iota : K^1_{p,q} \hookrightarrow [0,2] \times \mathbb{R}^\infty_+$ denote the inclusion. Since the ambient space is infinite dimensional, there exists an isotopy $h_t : K^1_{p,q} \hookrightarrow [0,2] \times \mathbb{R}^\infty_+$, constant on $\partial_0 K^1_{p,q}$, with $h_0 = i$ and $h_1 = i \circ \psi$. The formula $M' \mapsto \big(h_t(K^1_{p,q}) \cup (2 \cdot e_1 + M'),\ h_t \circ \zeta_i\big)$ gives a homotopy of maps $\mathcal{M}_g \longrightarrow X_0^\partial(M_g)_{p,q}$ that starts at f_0 and ends at the one given by the formula

$$M' \mapsto \big(\psi(K^1_{p,q}) \cup (2 \cdot e_1 + M'),\ \psi \circ \zeta_i\big). \tag{7.5}$$

By how ψ was chosen it follows that this map (7.5) and f_1 are homotopic. This concludes the proof of the proposition. □

To finish the proof of Theorem 7.3, consider the spectral sequence associated to the augmented semi-simplicial space $X^\partial_\bullet(M_g)_{p,q} \to X^\partial_{-1}(M_g)_{p,q}$ with E^1-term given by $E^1_{j,l} = H_j(X^\partial_l(M_g)_{p,q};\ \mathbb{Z})$ for $l \geq -1$ and $j \geq 0$. The differential is given by $d^1 = \sum (-1)^i (d_i)_*$, where $(d_i)_*$ is the map on homology induced by the ith face map in $X^\partial_\bullet(M_g)_{p,q}$. The group $E^\infty_{j,l}$ is a subquotient of the relative homology group $H_{j+l+1}(X^\partial_{-1}(M_g)_{p,q}, |X^\partial_\bullet(M_g)_{p,q}|;\ \mathbb{Z})$. Proposition 7.4 together with Corollary 7.2.1 and commutativity of diagram (7.4) imply the following facts:

(a) For $g \geq 4 + d$, there are isomorphisms $E^1_{j,l} \cong H_l(\mathcal{M}(M_{g-j-1});\ \mathbb{Z})$.

(b) The differential $d^1 : H_l(\mathcal{M}(M_{g-j-1});\ \mathbb{Z}) \cong E^1_{j,l} \longrightarrow E^1_{j-1,l} \cong H_l(\mathcal{M}(M_{g-j});\ \mathbb{Z})$ is equal to $(s_{p,q})_*$ when j is even and is equal to zero when j is odd.

(c) The term $E^\infty_{j,l}$ is equal to 0 when $j + l \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 2 - d)$.

To complete the proof one uses (c) to prove that the differential $d^1 : E^1_{2j,l} \longrightarrow E^1_{2j-1,l}$ is an isomorphism when $0 < j \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 3 - d)$ and an epimorphism when $0 < j \leq \frac{1}{2}(g - 1 - d)$. This is done by carrying out the exact inductive argument given in [3, Section 5.2: *Proof of Theorem 1.2*]. This establishes Theorem 7.3 and the main result of this paper, Theorem 1.5.

8. Tangential Structures

In this section we prove an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for the moduli spaces of manifolds equipped with tangential structures. Recall that a tangential structure is a map $\theta : B \longrightarrow BO(d)$. A θ-structure on a d-dimensional manifold M is a bundle map (fibrewise linear isomorphism) $\ell : TM \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$. More generally, if M is an l-dimensional manifold with $l \leq d$, then a θ-structure on M is a bundle map $TM \oplus \epsilon^{d-l} \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$.

Fix a tangential structure $\theta : B \longrightarrow BO(d)$. Let M be a d-dimensional manifold with boundary equipped with a collar embedding $h : [0, \varepsilon) \times \partial M \hookrightarrow M$. Let $\mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d)$ denote the space of bundle maps $TM \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$. The collar associated to ∂M induces a map

$$\mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}(TM \oplus \epsilon^1, \theta^*\gamma^d) \tag{8.1}$$

by the sending a bundle map $\ell : TM \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$ to the composite

$$TM \oplus \epsilon^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} TM|_{\partial M} \xrightarrow{\ell} \theta^*\gamma^d,$$

where the first arrow is the bundle isomorphism induced by the collar $h : [0, \varepsilon) \times \partial M \hookrightarrow M$. Let $P \subset \partial M$ be a codimension-0 submanifold and let $\ell_P : TP \oplus \epsilon^1 \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$ be a θ-structure. We define

$$\mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_P) \subset \mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d)$$

to be the subspace consisting of those θ-structures on M that agree with ℓ_P when restricted to P, via the map (8.1). The formula

$$\mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_P) \times \mathrm{Diff}(M, P) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_P), \quad (\ell, f) \mapsto \ell \circ Df,$$

defines a continuous action of the topological group $\mathrm{Diff}(M, P)$ on the space $\mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_P)$. We define $\mathrm{BDiff}_\theta(M, \ell_P)$ to be the *homotopy quotient*, $\mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_P)//\mathrm{Diff}(M, P)$.

We proceed to construct stabilization maps analogous to those defined in the introduction. This will require us to make some choices. Let $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be integers such that $p + q + 1 = d$.

Definition 8.1. Fix once and for all a bundle map $\tau : \mathbb{R}^d \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$. This choice determines a canonical θ-structure on any framed d-dimensional manifold. If X is any such framed d-dimensional manifold, we denote this canonical θ-structure on X by ℓ^τ_X. Choose once and for all framing

$$TV^1_{p,q} \cong V^1_{p,q} \times \mathbb{R}^{p+q+1},$$

and consider the canonical θ-structure $\ell^\tau_{V^1_{p,q}}$ induced by this chosen framing. We call a θ-structure $\ell : TV^1_{p,q} \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$ *standard* if it is homotopic to the canonical θ-structure $\ell^\tau_{V^1_{p,q}}$.

Let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ be a d-dimensional manifold triad with $\partial_0 M$ and $\partial_1 M$ non-empty. Let $(K_{p,q}; \partial_1 K_{p,q})$ be the relative cobordism between

$$(\partial_0 M \times \{0\}, \partial_{0,1} M \times \{0\}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\partial_0 M \times \{1\}, \partial_{0,1} M \times \{1\})$$

from Section 1.2. Recall that we have

$$K_{p,q} = (\partial_0 M \times [0, 1]) \natural V^1_{p,q},$$

and

$$\partial_0 K_{p,q} = \partial_0 M \times \{0, 1\}, \quad \partial_1 K_{p,q} = (\partial_{0,1} M \times [0, 1]) \# \partial V^1_{p,q}.$$

Let us denote $P := \partial_0 M$ and fix a θ-structure $\ell_P : TP \oplus \epsilon^1 \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$. Choose a θ-structure $\ell_{K_{p,q}} : TK_{p,q} \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$ that agrees with ℓ_P on both components of $\partial_0 K_{p,q}$ and that is standard (in the sense of Definition 8.1) when restricted to the boundary connect summand

$$V^1_{p,q} \subset (\partial_0 M \times [0, 1]) \natural V^1_{p,q} = K_{p,q}.$$

This choice of θ-structure $\ell_{K_{p,q}}$ induces a map

$$\mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_P) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}(T(M \cup_P K_{p,q}), \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_P), \quad \ell \mapsto \ell \cup \ell_{K_{p,q}}.$$

This map is equivariant with respect to the actions of $\mathrm{Diff}(M, P)$ and $\mathrm{Diff}(M \cup_P K_{p,q}, P)$ on the the source and the target, and thus it induces a map

$$s^{\theta}_{p,q} : \mathrm{BDiff}_{\theta}(M, \ell_P) \longrightarrow \mathrm{BDiff}_{\theta}(M \cup K_{p,q}, \ell_P). \tag{8.2}$$

Recall from the introduction the (p,q)-rank $r_{p,q}(M)$. Consider the same inequalities

$$|q-p| < \min\{p,q\} - 2, \quad |q-p| < \min\{\kappa(\partial_1 M), \kappa(M, \partial_1 M)\} - 1, \tag{8.3}$$

where $\kappa(\partial_1 M)$ and $\kappa(M, \partial_1 M)$ are the degrees of connectivity of M and $(M, \partial_1 M)$ respectively. The following theorem will require us to also impose the further condition $q \leq p$, and to assume that $\theta : B \longrightarrow BO(d)$ is such that the space B is q-connected.

Theorem 8.2. *Let p and q be positive integers with $p+q+1 = d = \dim(M)$ and suppose that the inequalities of (1.7) are satisfied. Suppose further that $q \leq p$ and that $\theta : B \longrightarrow BO(d)$ is such that B is q-connected. Suppose that $r_{p,q}(M) \geq g$. Then the homomorphism*

$$(s^{\theta}_{p,q})_* : H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}_{\theta}(M, \ell_P);\, \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H_k(\mathrm{BDiff}(M \cup_P K_{p,q},\, \ell_P);\, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism when $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g-4)$ and an epimorphism when $k \leq \frac{1}{2}(g-2)$.

The proof of this theorem is similar to Theorem 1.5 and requires only slight modifications. The key technical result that we will need is the lemma stated below. This lemma is the source of the requirement that $q \leq p$ and that the space B be q-connected. Fix a θ-structure ℓ_D on the disk D^{d-1} and fix an embedding $D^{d-1} \hookrightarrow \partial V_{p,q}$. We consider the space $\mathrm{Bun}(TV_{p,q}, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_D)$.

Lemma 8.3. *Suppose that $q \leq p$ and that $\theta : B \longrightarrow BO(d)$ is chosen so that B is q-connected. Then given any two elements $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathrm{Bun}(TV_{p,q}, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_D)$, there exists a diffeomorphism $f \in \mathrm{Diff}(V_{p,q}, D^{p+q})$ such that ℓ_1 is homotopic to $\ell_2 \circ Df$.*

Proof. Since the space B is q-connected and $V_{p,q}$ is homotopy equivalent to S^q, it follows that the underlying map $V_{p,q} \longrightarrow B$ of any θ-structure on $V_{p,q}$ is null-homotopic. It follows that every θ-structure on $V_{p,q}$ is homotopic to one that is induced by a framing of the tangent bundle. That is, every $\ell \in \mathrm{Bun}(TV_{p,q}, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_D)$ is homotopic to a θ-structure of the form,

$$TV_{p,q} \xrightarrow{\cong} V_{p,q} \times \mathbb{R}^d \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} \mathbb{R}^d \xrightarrow{\tau} \theta^*\gamma^d,$$

where the first arrow is a framing of the tangent bundle. Fix a framing

$$\phi_D : TD^{d-1} \oplus \epsilon^1 \xrightarrow{\cong} D^{d-1} \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Let $\mathrm{Fr}(TV_{p,q}, \phi_D)$ denote the space of framings of $TV_{p,q}$ that agree with ℓ_D when restricted to the disk $D^{d-1} \subset \partial V_{p,q}$. From the observation made above, to prove the lemma it will suffice to prove the following statement: given any two framings $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathrm{Fr}(TV_{p,q}, \phi_D)$, there exists $f \in \mathrm{Diff}(V_{p,q}, D^d)$ such that $\varphi_1 \circ Df$ is homotopic (through framings) to φ_2. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathrm{Fr}(TV_{p,q}, \phi_D)$. The tangent bundle $TV_{p,q}$ has a natural splitting $E \oplus N \cong TV_{p,q}$. The bundle E is the pull-back of the tangent bundle TS^q over the projection $V_{p,q} \longrightarrow S^q$ and N is the pull-back of the normal bundle of $S^q \hookrightarrow V_{p,q}$

over the projection $V_{p,q} \longrightarrow S^q$. The bundle $N \longrightarrow V_{p,q}$ is trivial and has fibres of dimension $p+1$. Pick once and for all a standard framing,

$$\phi : TV_{p,q} \xrightarrow{\cong} V_{p,q} \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Since $p \geq q$ by assumption, the stabilization map $\pi_q(SO_{p+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_q(SO)$ is surjective. From this is follows that φ_i (for $i = 1, 2$) is homotopic to a framing of the form

$$TV_{p,q} \xrightarrow{\cong} E \oplus N \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Id}_E \oplus \widehat{\varphi}_i} E \oplus N \xrightarrow{\cong} TV_{p,q} \xrightarrow{\phi} V_{p,q} \times \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{8.4}$$

for some bundle isomorphism $\widehat{\varphi}_i : N \xrightarrow{\cong} N$. To prove the proposition it will suffice to show the following: given two bundle isomorphisms $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 : N \xrightarrow{\cong} N$ that agree when restricted to $D^{d-1} \subset \partial V_{p,q}$, there exists $f \in \mathrm{Diff}(V_{p,q}, D^{d-1})$ such that $\alpha_1 \circ Df = \alpha_2$. Let $D(N)$ denote the *disk bundle* associated to N. Let $\bar{\alpha}_1, \bar{\alpha}_2 : D(N) \xrightarrow{\cong} D(N)$ be the bundle maps induced by α_1 and α_2. Choose a diffeomorphism $h : V_{p,q} \xrightarrow{\cong} D(N)$. By setting f equal to the diffeomorphism given by

$$V^1_{p,q} \xrightarrow{h} D(N) \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_2 \circ \bar{\alpha}_1^{-1}} D(N) \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} V^1_{p,q},$$

it follows that $\bar{\alpha}_2 \circ D(f) = \bar{\alpha}_1$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

8.1. **Simplicial complexes.** We proceed to construct a simplicial complex (and related semi-simplicial spaces) analogous to the one constructed in Section 3.2. Recall the manifold $\widehat{V}_{p,q}$ which is constructed by attaching $D^{p+q}_+ \times [0,1]$ to $V_{p,q}$ along an embedding

$$\alpha : (D^{p+q}_+ \times \{1\},\ \partial_0 D^{p+q}_+ \times \{1\}) \longrightarrow (\partial_0 V_{p,q},\ \partial_{0,1} V_{p,q}).$$

Let P and $\ell_P : TP \oplus \epsilon^1 \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^d$ be as in the statement of Theorem 8.2. Let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ be a d-dimensional compact manifold triad, with $\partial_0 M = P$. Choose a θ-structure $\ell_M \in \mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^d; \ell_P)$. The definition below should be compared to [4, Definition 7.10].

Definition 8.4. Let $a : [0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}_+ \longrightarrow M$ be an embedding that satisfies

$$a^{-1}(\partial_0 M) = \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}_+ \quad \text{and} \quad a^{-1}(\partial_1 M) = [0,1) \times \partial\mathbb{R}^{d-1}_+.$$

For each pair of positive integers p and q with $p + q + 1 = d$, we define a simplicial complex $K^\partial(M, \ell_M, a)_{p,q}$ as follows:

(i) A vertex in $K^\partial(M, \ell_M, a)_{p,q}$ is defined to be a triple (t, ϕ, γ) such that:
- $(t, \phi) \in K^\partial(M, a)_{p,q}$
- γ is a path in $\mathrm{Bun}(\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \theta^*\gamma^d)$, constant over $D^{p+q}_+ \subset \partial\widehat{V}_{p,q}$, starting at $\phi^*\ell_M$ and ending at $\ell_{\widehat{V}_{p,q}}$.

(ii) A set of vertices $\{(t_0, \phi_0, \gamma_0), \ldots, (t_l, \phi_l, \gamma_l)\}$ forms an l-simplex if $t_i \neq t_j$ and $\phi_i(B_{p,q}) \cap \phi_j(B_{p,q}) = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$, just as in Definition 3.3.

As in Section 3.2 we will also need to work with a semi-simplicial space $K^\partial_\bullet(M, \ell_M, a)_{p,q}$ analogous to the simplicial complex from the previous definition.

Definition 8.5. The spaces of l-simplices, $K_l^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}$ are defined as follows:

(i) The space of 0-simplices $K_0^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}$ is defined to have the same underlying set as the set of vertices of the simplicial complex $K^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}$.

(ii) The space of l-simplices, $K_l^\partial(M,\ell_M,a) \subset (K_0^\partial(M,\ell_M,a))^{l+1}$ consists of the ordered $(l+1)$-tuples $((t_0,\phi_0,\gamma_0),\dots,(t_l,\phi_l,\gamma_l))$ such that $t_0 < \cdots < t_l$ and $\phi_i(B_{p,q}) \cap \phi_j(B_{p,q}) = \emptyset$ when $i \neq j$.

The spaces $K_l^\partial(M,\ell_M,a) \subset \left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{Emb}(\widehat{V}_{p,q},M) \times \mathrm{Bun}(T\widehat{V}_{p,q},\theta^*\gamma^d)\right)^{l+1}$ are topologized using the C^∞-topology on the spaces of embeddings and bundle maps. The assignments $[l] \mapsto K_l^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)$ define a semi-simplicial space denoted by $K_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}$ with face maps defined the same way as in Definition 3.6.

Finally, $\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q} \subset K_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}$ is defined to be the sub-semi-simplicial space consisting of all simplices $((t_0,\phi_0,\gamma_0),\dots,(t_l,\phi_l,\gamma_l)) \in K_l^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)$ such that $\phi_i(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) \cap \phi_j(\widehat{V}_{p,q}) = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$.

The main theorem that we will need regarding the above construction is the following.

Theorem 8.6. *Let $(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)$ and $p+q+1=d$ satisfy (8.3). Suppose further that $q \leq p$ and that $\theta : B \longrightarrow BO(d)$ is chosen so that B is q-connected. Let $r_{p,q}(M) \geq g$. Then for any $\ell_M \in \mathrm{Bun}(TM,\theta^*\gamma^d;\ell_P)$, the geometric realization $|\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4)$-connected.*

Proof. As before, the degree of connectivity of $|\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}|$ is bounded below by the degree of connectivity of $|K^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}|$. To prove the theorem it will suffice to show that $|K^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4)$-connected. Let $\mathcal{T} \subset K^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q} \times K^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}$ be the symmetric relation from Definition 6.3. As in Section 6 we have a simplicial map

$$F_{p,q} : K^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q} \longrightarrow L(\mathcal{W}^\partial_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M))$$

defined by sending (t,ϕ,γ) to the morphism of Wall forms $\mathbf{W}_{p,q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^\partial_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M)$ induced by ϕ. We will need to show that the map $F_{p,q}$ has the following properties:

(i) the map $F_{p,q}$ has the link lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{T}$ (see Definition 2.3),

(ii) $F_{p,q}(\mathrm{lk}_{K^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}}(\zeta)) \leq \mathrm{lk}_{L(\mathcal{W}^\partial_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M))}(F_{p,q}(\zeta))$ for any simplex $\zeta \in K^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}$.

Condition (ii) is proven in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.5. The proof of condition (i) similar to the proof of Theorem but requires one extra step which we describe below. This step will rely on Lemma 8.3 and thus requires the conditions that $q \leq p$ and B is q-connected. Let $f : \mathbf{W} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}^\partial_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M)$ be a morphism of Wall forms, i.e. a vertex of $L(\mathcal{W}^\partial_{p,q}(M,\partial_1 M))$. Let

$$(\phi_1,\gamma_1,),\dots,(\phi_k,\gamma_k) \in K^\partial(M,\ell_M,a)_{p,q}$$

be a collection of vertices, in general position with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, such that

$$F_{p,q}(\gamma_i,\phi_i) \in \mathrm{lk}(f) \quad \text{for } i=1,\dots,k,$$

(we have dropped the numbers $t_1, \dots, t_k$ from the notation to save space). Let $(\phi'_1, \gamma'_1), \dots, (\phi'_m, \gamma'_m) \in K^{\partial}(M, \ell_M, a)_{p,q}$ be another arbitrary collection of vertices. To show that $F_{p,q}$ has the link lifting property with respect to $\mathcal{T}$, we need to construct a vertex $(\phi, \gamma) \in K^{\partial}(M, \ell_M, a)_{p,q}$ with $F_{p,q}(\phi, \gamma) = f$, such that

$$(\phi_i, \gamma_i) \in \mathrm{lk}(\phi, \gamma) \quad \text{and} \quad ((\psi_j, \gamma_j), (\phi, \gamma)) \in \mathcal{T}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, m$. By using the same procedure employed in the proof of Theorem 3.5, we may construct the embedding $\phi : \widehat{V}_{p,q} \longrightarrow M$ in the same way that was done there. However, in order to obtain the path $\gamma : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}(T\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \theta^*\gamma^d)$, we need to use Lemma 8.3. Since $q \leq p$ and the space B is q-connected, by Lemma 8.3 we may find a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \widehat{V}_{p,q} \longrightarrow \widehat{V}_{p,q}$, that is the identity on the half-disk $D^{p+q}_+ \subset \partial \widehat{V}_{p,q}$, such that the θ-structure $\varphi^*\phi^*\ell_M$ given by

$$T\widehat{V}_{p,q} \xrightarrow{D\varphi} T\widehat{V}_{p,q} \xrightarrow{D\phi} TM \xrightarrow{\ell_M} \theta^*\gamma^d, \tag{8.5}$$

is on the same path component of $\mathrm{Bun}(T\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \theta^*\gamma^d)$ as the canonical θ-structure $\ell^{\tau}_{\widehat{V}_{p,q}}$. Letting $\gamma : [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Bun}(T\widehat{V}_{p,q}, \theta^*\gamma^d)$ be a path from $\varphi^*\phi^*\ell_M$ to $\ell^{\tau}_{\widehat{V}_{p,q}}$ it follows that the pair $(\phi \circ \varphi, \gamma)$ is a vertex in $K^{\partial}(M, \ell_M, a)_{p,q}$ that satisfies all of the desired conditions. The construction of this vertex concludes our verification of the link lifting property. It follows that the degree of connectivity of $|K^{\partial}(M, \ell_M, a)_{p,q}|$ is bounded below by the degree of connectivity of $|L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M))|$. Since $q \leq p$, $\pi_q(S^p)$ is either isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ or is zero, thus the generating set length $d(\pi_q(S^p))$ is either equal to 1 or zero. It follows from Theorem 5.8 that $|L(\mathcal{W}^{\partial}_{p,q}(M, \partial_1 M))|$ is at least $\frac{1}{2}(g-4)$-connected. By what was proven above it follows that $|\bar{K}^{\partial}_{\bullet}(M, \ell_M, a)_{p,q}|$ is $\frac{1}{2}(g-4)$-connected as well. This concludes the proof of the theorem. □

We now outline how to obtain Theorem 8.2 from the high-connectivity of $|\bar{K}^{\partial}_{\bullet}(M, \ell_M, a)_{p,q}|$, established in the previous proposition. Let $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$ be an m-dimensional manifold triad. Set $P := \partial_0 M$. Fix a θ-structure $\ell_P : TP \oplus \epsilon^1 \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^m$. We define $\mathcal{M}_\theta(M, \ell_P)$ to be the quotient space

$$(\mathcal{E}(M) \times \mathrm{Bun}(TM, \theta^*\gamma^m; \ell_P)) \,/\, \mathrm{Diff}(M, P).$$

Since $\mathcal{E}(M)$ is weakly contractible it follows that there is a weak homotopy equivalence

$$\mathcal{M}_\theta(M, \ell_P) \simeq \mathrm{BDiff}_\theta(M, \ell_P).$$

By the above construction, any element of $\mathcal{M}_\theta(M, \ell_P)$ can be expressed as a pair (M', ℓ) where $M' \subset \mathbb{R}^\infty_+ \times [0, \infty)$ is the image an element of $\mathcal{E}(M)$ (and thus has the structure of a manifold triad diffeomorphic to $(M; \partial_0 M, \partial_1 M)$), and $\ell : TM' \longrightarrow \theta^*\gamma^m$ is a θ-structure that agrees with ℓ_P when restricted to $P = \partial_0 M'$. Using this particular model for $\mathrm{BDiff}_\theta(M, \ell_P)$, we construct an augmented semi-simplicial space $X^{\partial}_{\bullet}(M, \ell_P)_{p,q} \longrightarrow X^{\partial}_{-1}(M, \ell_P)_{p,q}$, for each pair of integers p, q with $p+q = m$.

Definition 8.7. Choose once and for all a coordinate patch $c : (\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+, \partial\mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+) \hookrightarrow (S, \partial S)$. Such a coordinate patch induces for each $(M', \ell') \in \mathcal{M}_\theta(M)$ a germ of an embedding

$$a_{M'} : [0,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{m-1}_+ \longrightarrow M'$$

as used in Definition 8.4. For each non-negative integer l, $X_l^\partial(M,\ell_P)$ is defined to be the set of triples (M',ℓ',ϕ) where $(M',\ell')\in\mathcal{M}(M,\ell_P)$ and $\phi\in\bar{K}_l^\partial(M',\ell_P,a_{M'})_{p,q}$. The space $X_l^\partial(M,\ell_P)$ is topologized as the quotient space

$$\Big(\mathcal{E}(M)\times\bar{K}_l^\partial(M,\ell_P,a)_{p,q}\Big)\,/\,\mathrm{Diff}(M,P).$$

The assignments $[l]\mapsto X_l^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}$ make $X_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}$ into a semi-simplicial space where the face maps are induced by the face maps in $\bar{K}_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_P,a)_{p,q}$. For each $l\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ there is a projection map

$$X_l^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}\longrightarrow\mathcal{M}(M,\ell_P),\quad (M',\ell',\phi)\mapsto(M',\ell'). \tag{8.6}$$

We set $X_{-1}^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}:=\mathcal{M}(M,\ell_P)$. The maps (8.6) assemble to yield an augmented semi-simplicial space

$$\epsilon_{p,q}:X_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}\longrightarrow X_{-1}^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}.$$

Using the fibre sequence $|\bar{K}_l^\partial(M,\ell_P,a)_{p,q}|\longrightarrow|X_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}|\longrightarrow|X_{-1}^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}|$, Theorem 8.6 implies that the map

$$|\epsilon_{p,q}|:|X_\bullet^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}|\longrightarrow X_{-1}^\partial(M,\ell_P)_{p,q}$$

is $\frac{1}{2}(r_{p,q}(M)-4)$-connected in the case that p and q satisfy the inequalities of (8.3) and that $\theta:B\longrightarrow BO(m)$ is q-connected. With high connectivity of this map established, the proof of Theorem 8.2 follows by the same argument given in Section 7.3.

Appendix A. Embeddings and Disjunction

In this section we prove a disjunction result for embeddings of manifolds with boundary. The main result is a generalization of [2, Theorem B.3].

Theorem A.1. *Let $(M,\partial M)$ be a manifold pair of dimension m. Let $(P,\partial P)$ and $(Q,\partial Q)$ be manifold pairs of dimensions p and q respectively, with $\partial P\neq\emptyset\neq\partial Q$. Let*

$$f:(P,\partial P)\longrightarrow(M,\partial M)\quad\text{and}\quad g:(Q,\partial Q)\longrightarrow(M,\partial M)$$

be smooth embeddings and suppose that the following conditions are met:

(i) $m>p+q/2+1$, $m>q+p/2+1$;
(ii) $(P,\partial P)$, $(Q,\partial Q)$, P, *and* Q *are* $(p+q-m)$*-connected;*
(iii) $(M,\partial M)$ *and* M *are* $(p+q-m+1)$*-connected.*

Then there exists an isotopy $\psi_s:(P,\partial P)\longrightarrow(M,\partial M)$ with $s\in[0,1]$, such that: $\psi_0=f$ and $\psi_1(P)\cap g(Q)=\emptyset$.

We emphasize that the isotopy ψ_t in the statement of the above theorem will in general not be constant when restricted to the boundary ∂P. It is completely necessary that the boundary ∂P be free to "move" in ∂M. To prove this theorem we will have to use a certain technique developed by Hatcher and Quinn from [7]. We review this technique in the next section, develop some new techniques in the sections that follow, and finally finish the proof of Theorem A.1 in Section A.4.

A.1. **Hatcher-Quinn invariant.** We now review the construction of Hatcher and Quinn from [7]. This construction involves the framed bordism groups of a space, twisted by a stable vector bundle.

Definition A.2. Let X be a space and let ζ be a stable vector bundle over X. For an integer n, $\Omega_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(X;\zeta)$ is defined to be the set of bordism classes of triples (M, f, F), where M is a closed n-dimensional smooth manifold, $f : M \longrightarrow X$ is a map, and $F : \nu_M \longrightarrow f^*(\zeta)$ is an isomorphism of stable vector bundles covering the identity map on M.

Remark A.3. By a standard Pontryagin-Thom argument it follows that there is natural isomorphism between $\Omega_n^{\mathrm{fr}}(X;\zeta)$ and $\pi_n(X^\zeta)$, where X^ζ denotes the *Thom spectrum* associated to the stable vector bundle ζ.

For what follows, let M, P, and Q be smooth manifolds of dimensions m, p, and q respectively. Let t denote the integer $p+q-m$. Let

$$f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M) \quad \text{and} \quad g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$$

be smooth maps. We denote by $E(f,g)$ the *homotopy pull-back* of the maps f and g. Explicitly, $E(f,g)$ is defined by setting

$$E(f,g) = \{(x, y, \gamma) \in P \times Q \times \mathrm{Path}(M) \mid f(x) = \gamma(0), \quad g(y) = \gamma(1)\ \}.$$

Consider the diagram

(A.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(f,g) & \xrightarrow{\pi_P} & P \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_Q} & \overset{\hat{s}}{\searrow} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ Q & \xrightarrow{g} & M \end{array}$$

where π_P and π_Q are the projection maps and $\hat{s}$ is the map defined by $\hat{s}(x,y,\gamma) = \gamma(1/2)$. Let ν_P, ν_Q denote the stable normal bundles associated to the manifolds P and Q respectively. We denote by $\eta(f,g)$ the stable vector bundle over $E(f,g)$ given by the Whitney-sum $\pi_P^*\nu_P \oplus \pi_Q^*\nu_Q \oplus \hat{s}^*(TM)$. We will need to consider the bordism group $\Omega_t^{\mathrm{fr}}(E(f,g);\ \eta(f,g))$.

Suppose now that the maps $f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ and $g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ are transversal and are such that

(A.2)
$$f(\partial P) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset.$$

It follows from (A.2) that the pull-back

$$f \pitchfork g := (f \times g)^{-1}(\triangle_M) \subset P \times Q$$

is a closed submanifold of dimension $t = p + q - m$. Let $\iota : f \pitchfork g \longrightarrow E(f,g)$ denote the canonical embedding given by the formula $(x,y) \mapsto (x, y, c_{f(x)})$, where $c_{f(x)} \in \mathrm{Path}(M)$ is the constant path at the point $f(x) \in M$. The following lemma in proven in [7].

Lemma A.4. *Let $f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ and $g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ be transversal smooth maps such that $f(\partial P) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset$. Then there is a natural bundle isomorphism*

$$\hat{\iota} : \nu_{f \pitchfork g} \xrightarrow{\cong} \iota^*(\eta(f,g))$$

so that the triple $(f \pitchfork g, \iota, \hat{\iota})$ *determines a well-defined element of the bordism group* $\Omega_t^{fr}(E(f,g);\ \eta(f,g))$.

Definition A.5. For transversal maps f and g with $f(\partial P) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset$ as in the previous lemma, we will denote by $\alpha_t(f,g,M) \in \Omega_t^{\mathrm{fr}}(E(f,g);\ \eta(f,g))$ the element determined by the the triple $(f \pitchfork g, \iota, \hat{\iota})$ given in Lemma A.4.

The main result from [7] is the following.

Theorem A.6. *Let* $f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ *and* $g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ *be smooth embeddings such that* $f(\partial P) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset$. *Suppose further that* $m > p + q/2 + 1$ *and* $m > p/2 + q + 1$. *If the class* $\alpha_t(f,g,M)$ *is equal to the zero class in* $\Omega_t^{fr}(E(f,g);\eta(f,g))$, *then there exists an isotopy*

$$\psi_s : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$$

such that: $\psi_0 = f$, $\psi_s|_{\partial P} = f$ *for all* $s \in [0,1]$, *and* $\psi_1(P) \cap g(Q) = \emptyset$.

The bordism group $\Omega_t^{\mathrm{fr}}(E(f,g);\eta(f,g))$ in general can be quite difficult to compute. However, in the case where P, Q, and M are all highly connected, the group reduces to a far simpler object.

Proposition A.7. *Let* $f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ *and* $g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ *be smooth embeddings such that* $f(\partial P) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset$. *Suppose that* P *and* Q *are* $(p+q-m)$*-connected and that* M *is* $(p+q-m+1)$*-connected. Then the natural map* $\Omega_t^{fr}(pt.) \to \Omega_t^{fr}(E(f,g),\eta(f,g))$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let P and Q be $(p+q-m)$-connected and let M be $(p+q-m+1)$-connected. There is a fibre sequence $\Omega M \longrightarrow E(f,g) \longrightarrow P \times Q$. The long exact sequence on homotopy groups implies that the space $E(f,g)$ is $(p+q-m)$-connected. The proof of the proposition follows from this. □

Suppose that P and Q are $(p+q-m)$-connected and that M is $(p+q-m+1)$-connected. If $f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ and $g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ are smooth embeddings we may consider $\alpha_t(f,g;M)$ to be an element of the framed bordism group $\Omega_t^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{pt.})$, where $t = p + q - m$.

Remark A.8. The element $\alpha_t(f,g;M)$ is the obstruction to finding an isotopy, relative to the boundary ∂P, that pushes $f(P)$ off of $g(Q)$. This element $\alpha_t(f,g;M)$ very well may be non-zero for arbitrary f, g, and M, and thus it may appear that Theorem A.1 is false. However, Theorem A.1 does not assert the existence of an isotopy that fixes the boundary of P. Indeed, as will be seen in the following sections, $\alpha_t(f,g;M)$ is not an obstruction to the existence of an isotopy that is non-constant on the boundary of P.

A.2. **Relative Hatcher-Quinn Invariant.** Our main theorem concerns manifolds with boundary. This demands that we use a relative version of the Hatcher-Quinn invariant that was defined in the previous section. We will have to consider relative framed bordism groups.

Definition A.9. Let (X, A) be a pair of spaces and let ζ be a stable vector bundle over X. For an integer n, $\Omega_n^{\mathrm{fr}}((X,A),\zeta)$ is defined to be the set of bordism classes of triples (M, f, F) where $(M, \partial M)$ is an n-dimensional manifold pair, $f : (M, \partial M) \longrightarrow (X, A)$ is a map, and $F : \nu_M \longrightarrow f^*(\zeta)$ is an equivalence class of bundle isomorphisms as before.

For any space pair (X, A) and stable vector bundle ζ over X, there is a long exact sequence of bordism groups

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \Omega^{\mathrm{fr}}_n(A;\zeta|_A) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\mathrm{fr}}_n(X;\zeta) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\mathrm{fr}}_n((X,A);\zeta) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\mathrm{fr}}_{n-1}(A;\zeta|_A) \longrightarrow \cdots \tag{A.3}$$

Using these relative bordism groups, we define a relative version of the Hatcher-Quinn invariant. Let

$$f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M) \quad \text{and} \quad g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M) \tag{A.4}$$

be embeddings. Unlike the case in the previous section, we now include the possibility that the intersection $f(\partial P) \cap g(\partial Q)$ be non-empty. If f and g are transversal (and by this we mean that both f and g and $f|_{\partial P}$ and $g|_{\partial Q}$ are transversal in the ordinary sense), then the pull-back $f \pitchfork g$ is a manifold with boundary given by $\partial(f \pitchfork g) = f|_{\partial P} \pitchfork g|_{\partial Q} \subset \partial P \times \partial Q$. We will need to construct a relative version of the bordism invariant that was defined in the previous section.

Let $\partial E(f, g)$ denote the homotopy pull-back $E(f|_{\partial P}, g|_{\partial Q})$. The space $\partial E(f, g)$ embeds naturally as a subspace of $E(f, g)$. We have a map of pairs

$$\iota : (f \pitchfork g,\ \partial(f \pitchfork g)) \longrightarrow (E(f,g),\ \partial E(f,g)), \quad (x, y) \mapsto (x, y, c_{f(x)}).$$

The restriction of $\eta(f, g)$ to $\partial E(f, g)$ is equal to the bundle $\eta(f|_{\partial P}, g|_{\partial Q})$. To save space we will let $\widehat{E}(f, g)$ denote the pair $(E(f, g), \partial E(f, g))$. We will need to consider the relative bordism group $\Omega^{\mathrm{fr}}_t(\widehat{E}(f, g), \eta(f, g))$. Let

$$\widehat{\partial} : \Omega^{\mathrm{fr}}_t\left(\widehat{E}(f,g),\ \eta(f,g)\right) \longrightarrow \Omega^{\mathrm{fr}}_{t-1}\left(\partial E(f,g),\ \eta(f,g)|_{\partial E(f,g)}\right) \tag{A.5}$$

be the boundary homomorphism in the long exact sequence associated to the pair $\widehat{E}(f, g)$. Using the same construction from Lemma A.4, we obtain:

Lemma A.10. *Let $f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ and $g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ be transversal maps. Then the pullback manifold $f \pitchfork g$ determines a class $\alpha^{\partial}_t(f, g, M) \in \Omega^{fr}_t(\widehat{E}(f, g), \eta(f, g))$. Furthermore, we have $\widehat{\partial}(\alpha^{\partial}_t(f, g, M)) = \alpha_{t-1}(f|_{\partial P}, g|_{\partial Q}, \partial M)$.*

Lemma A.10 will be useful to us in order to prove the following result.

Proposition A.11. *Let $f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ and $g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ be embeddings. Suppose that $(P, \partial P)$ and $(Q, \partial Q)$ are $(p + q - m)$-connected and that $(M, \partial M)$ is $(p + q - m + 1)$-connected. Then there exists an isotopy $\Psi_s : P \longrightarrow M$ with $s \in [0, 1]$, such that $\Psi_0 = f$ and $\Psi_1(\partial P) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let t denote the integer $p + q - m$. The connectivity conditions in the statement of the proposition implies that the pair $(E(f, g), \partial E(f, g))$ is t-connected, and thus, the bordism group $\Omega^{\mathrm{fr}}_t(\widehat{E}(f, g), \eta(f, g))$ is trivial. It follows from this that $\widehat{\partial}(\widehat{\alpha}_t(f, g, M)) = \alpha_{t-1}(f|_{\partial P}, g|_{\partial Q}, \partial M) = 0$. We may then apply Theorem A.6 to obtain an isotopy $\psi_s : \partial P \longrightarrow \partial M$ with $\psi_0 = f|_{\partial P}$, such that $\psi_1(\partial P) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset$. The proof of the proposition then follows by application of the *isotopy extension theorem.* □

A.3. **Creating intersections.** In this section we develop a technique for creating intersections with prescribed Hatcher-Quinn obstructions. Let M and Q be oriented, connected manifolds of dimension m and q respectively and let $g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ be an embedding. Let $r = m - q$ and let $f : (D^r, \partial D^r) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ be a smooth embedding transverse to g such that

$$f(\partial D^r) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset. \tag{A.6}$$

Let $j \geq 0$ be an integer strictly less than r and let $\varphi : (D^{r+j}, \partial D^{r+j}) \longrightarrow (D^r, \partial D^r)$ be a smooth map. Denote by

$$\mathcal{P}_j : \pi_{r+j}(D^r, \partial D^r) \cong \pi_{r+j}(S^r) \xrightarrow{\cong} \Omega_j^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{pt.}) \tag{A.7}$$

the *Pontryagin-Thom* isomorphism. The following lemma shows how to express $\alpha_j(f \circ \varphi,\ g;\ M)$ in terms of $\alpha_0(f, g, M)$ and the element $\mathcal{P}_j([\varphi]) \in \Omega_j^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{pt.})$.

Lemma A.12. *Let g, f, and φ be exactly as above and suppose that $f(\partial D^r) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset$. Then*

$$\alpha_j(f \circ \varphi,\ g;\ M) \ = \ \alpha_0(f, g; M) \cdot \mathcal{P}_j([\varphi]),$$

where the product on the right-hand side is the product in the graded bordism ring $\Omega_*^{fr}(pt.)$.

Proof. Let $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the oriented, algebraic intersection number associated to the intersection of $f(D^r)$ and $g(Q)$. By application of the Whitney trick, we may deform f so that

$$f(D^r) \cap g(Q) = \{x_1, \ldots, x_\ell\}, \tag{A.8}$$

where the points x_i for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$ all have the same sign. It follows that

$$(f \circ \varphi)^{-1}(g(Q)) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \varphi^{-1}(x_i).$$

For each $i \in \{1, \ldots, \ell\}$, the framing at x_i (induced by the orientations of $f(D^r)$, $g(Q)$ and M) induces a framing on $\varphi^{-1}(x_i)$. We denote the element of $\Omega_j^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{pt.})$ given by $\varphi^{-1}(x_i)$ with this induced framing by $[\varphi^{-1}(x_i)]$. By definition of the Pontryagin-Thom map $\mathcal{P}_j$ (see [12, Section 7]), the element $[\varphi^{-1}(x_i)]$ is equal to $\mathcal{P}_j([\gamma])$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \ell$. Using the equality (A.8), it follows that

$$\alpha_j(f \circ \varphi,\ g\ M) = \ell \cdot \mathcal{P}_j([\varphi]).$$

The proof then follows from the fact that $\alpha_0(f, g, M)$ is identified with the algebraic intersection number associated to $f(S^r)$ and $g(Q)$. □

The above lemma will applied in the following proposition.

Proposition A.13. *Let Q and M have non-empty boundary and let $g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ be a smooth embedding. Let r denote the integer $m - q$. There exists an embedding*

$$f : (D^r, \partial D^r) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$$

that satisfies the following conditions:

- $f(\partial D^r) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset$,
- $f(D^r) \cap g(Q)$ *consists of a single point with positive orientation,*

- *f represents the trivial element in $\pi_r(M, \partial M)$.*

Proof. We will prove the proposition by carrying out an explicit construction as follows:

(i) Choose a collar embedding $h : \partial Q \times [0, \infty) \longrightarrow Q$ such that $h^{-1}(\partial Q) = \partial Q \times \{0\}$.

(ii) Choose a point $y \in \partial Q$, then define an embedding

$$\gamma : [0, 1] \longrightarrow g(Q), \quad \gamma(t) = g(h(y, t)).$$

We then let $x \in g(Q)$ denote the point $\gamma(1)$.

(iii) Choose an embedding $\alpha : (D^2_+, \partial_0 D^2_+) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ that satisfies the following conditions:

(a) $\alpha(D^2_+) \cap g(Q) = \gamma([0, 1])$,

(b) $\alpha(\partial_1 D^2_+) \cap g(Q) = \{x\}$,

(c) $\alpha(D^2_+)$ intersects $g(Q)$ orthogonally (with resect to some metric on M).

(iv) Let r denote the integer $m-q$. Choose a $(r-1)$-frame of orthogonal vector fields $(v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1})$ over the embedded half-disk $\alpha(D^2_+) \subset M$ with the property that v_i is orthogonal to $\alpha(D^2_+)$ and orthogonal to $g(Q)$ over the intersection $\alpha(D^2_+) \cap g(Q)$, for $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$. Since the disk is contractable, there is no obstruction to the existence of such a frame.

The orthogonal $(r-1)$-frame chosen in step (iv) induces an embedding

$$\bar{f} : (D^{r+1}_+, \partial_0 D^{r+1}_+) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M).$$

The orthogonality condition (condition (c)) in Step iii. of the above construction, together with the orthogonality condition on the frame chosen in step (iv), implies that $\bar{f}(D^{r+1}_+)$ is transverse to $g(Q)$. Furthermore, condition (b) from step iii. of the above construction implies that

$$f(\partial_1 D^{r+1}) \cap g(\mathrm{Int}(Q)) = \{x\}.$$

We then set the map $f : (D^r, \partial D^{r-1}) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ equal to the embedding obtained by restricting $\bar{f}$ to $(\partial_1 D^{r+1}, \partial_{0,1} D^{r+1})$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

A.4. **Proof of Theorem A.1.** We now are finally in a position to prove Theorem A.1. Let

$$f : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M) \quad \text{and} \quad g : (Q, \partial Q) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$$

be smooth embeddings exactly as in the statement of Theorem A.1. This means that the following conditions are met:

(i) $m > p + q/2 + 1$, $m > q + p/2 + 1$;

(ii) $(P, \partial P)$, $(Q, \partial Q)$, P, and Q are all $(p + q - m)$-connected;

(iii) $(M, \partial M)$ and M are both $(p + q - m + 1)$-connected.

We now have all of the necessary tools available to prove Theorem A.1.

Proof of Theorem A.1. By Proposition A.11 we may assume that $f(\partial P) \cap g(\partial Q) = \emptyset$. Consider the element $\alpha_t(f, g, M) \in \Omega^{\mathrm{fr}}_t(\mathrm{pt})$ where as before $t = p + q - m$. Let

$$\varphi : (D^p, \partial D^p) \longrightarrow (D^{m-q}, \partial D^{m-q})$$

be a map such that $\mathcal{P}_t([\varphi]) = -\alpha_t(f, g, M)$ as elements of $\Omega_t^{\mathrm{fr}}(\mathrm{pt.})$. By Proposition A.13 there exists a null-homotopic embedding

$$\phi : (D^{m-q}, \partial D^{m-q}) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$$

such that $\phi(D^{m-q})$ intersects the interior of $g(Q)$ at exactly one point and such that Furthermore, by general position we may assume that $\phi(\partial D^{m-q})$ is disjoint from $g(\partial Q)$. It follows from Lemma A.12 that

$$\alpha_t(\phi \circ \varphi, g, M) = \mathcal{P}_t(\varphi) \cdot \alpha_0(\phi, g, M) = -\alpha_t(f, g, M). \tag{A.9}$$

Now, the map $\phi \circ \varphi$ has image disjoint from $g(\partial Q) \subset \partial M$. Let M' denote the complement $M \backslash g(\partial Q)$. By the connectivity and dimensional conditions from the statement of Theorem A.1, we may apply Lemma 4.1 (see also [8, Theorem 1]) to obtain a homotopy

$$\widehat{\varphi}_s : (D^p, \partial D^p) \longrightarrow (M', \partial M'), \quad s \in [0, 1], \tag{A.10}$$

with $\widehat{\varphi}_0 = \phi \circ \varphi$ such that $\widehat{\varphi}_1$ is an embedding. Let us denote

$$\widehat{\varphi} := i_{M'} \circ \widehat{\varphi}_1 : (D^p, \partial D^p) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$$

where $i_{M'} : (M', \partial M') \hookrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ is the inclusion. Since the homotopy in (A.10) was through maps with image in $(M', \partial M')$, it follows that

$$\alpha_t(\widehat{\varphi}, g, M) = \alpha_t(\phi \circ \varphi, g, M),$$

and then by the above calculation (A.9) we have

$$\alpha_t(\widehat{\varphi}, g, M) = -\alpha_t(f, g, M).$$

Now, let $\widehat{f} : (P, \partial P) \longrightarrow (M, \partial M)$ be the embedding obtained by forming the boundary-connected-sum of of the submanifolds

$$(f(P), f(\partial P)) \subset (M, \partial M) \quad \text{and} \quad (\widehat{\varphi}(D^p), \widehat{\varphi}(\partial D^p)) \subset (M, \partial M),$$

along an arc in ∂M that is disjoint from $g(\partial Q)$. Clearly this embedding is homotopic (as a map) to f. We emphasize that homotopy taking $\widehat{f}$ to f will not be constant on the boundary of P. We then have

$$\alpha_t(\widehat{f}, g, M) = \alpha_t(f, g, M) + \alpha_t(\widehat{\varphi}, g, M) = \alpha_t(f, g, M) - \alpha_t(f, g, M) = 0.$$

By Theorem A.6 there is a diffeotopy (relative the boundary of M) that pushes $\widehat{f}(P)$ off of $g(Q)$. Now since f is homotopic to $\widehat{f}$, it follows that f is homotopic (through maps sending ∂P to ∂M) to an embedding with image disjoint from $g(\partial Q)$. We then apply [7, Theorem 1.1] to conclude that the embedding f is actually isotopic to such an embedding with image disjoint from $g(Q)$. Then Theorem A.1 follows by isotopy extension. □

A.5. **Disjunction for embeddings of triads.** Recall from Definition 1.2 the definition of a manifold triad. We will also need a version of Theorem A.1 for embeddings of manifold triads. It is proven by repeating the same steps used in the proof of Theorem A.1 and so we omit the proof (see the Remark A.15 below). One can view the theorem below as a relative version of Theorem A.1.

Theorem A.14. *Let $(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)$, $(P;\partial_0 P,\partial_1 P)$, and $(Q;\partial_0 Q,\partial_1 Q)$ be compact manifold triads of dimensions m, p, and q respectively. Let*

$$f:(P;\partial_0 P,\partial_1 P)\longrightarrow(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)\quad \textit{and}\quad g:(Q;\partial_0 Q,\partial_1 Q)\longrightarrow(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)$$

be smooth embeddings with $f(\partial_0 P)\cap g(\partial_0 Q)=\emptyset$. Suppose that the following conditions are met:

(i) $m>p+q/2+1$, $m>q+p/2+1$;
(ii) $(P,\partial_1 P)$, $(Q,\partial_1 Q)$, P, *and* Q *are* $(p+q-m)$*-connected;*
(iii) $(M,\partial_1 M)$ *and* M *are* $(p+q-m+1)$*-connected.*

Then there exists an isotopy $\Psi_t:(P;\partial_0 P,\partial_1 P)\longrightarrow(M;\partial_0 M,\partial_1 M)$ for $t\in[0,1]$, such that: $\Psi_0=f$, $\Psi_t|_{\partial_0 P}=f|_{\partial_0 P}$ for all $t\in[0,1]$, and $\Psi_1(P)\cap g(Q)=\emptyset$.

Remark A.15. The above theorem is proven by repeating the exact same steps as in the proof of Theorem A.1 and replacing ∂P, ∂Q, and ∂M with $\partial_1 P$, $\partial_1 Q$, and $\partial_1 M$. Since we have $f(\partial_0 P)\cap g(\partial_0 Q)=\emptyset$ as an initial condition, the faces $\partial_0 P$, $\partial_0 Q$, and $\partial_0 M$ can be ignored.

References

[1] E. Binz and H. R. Fischer, *The manifold of embeddings of a closed manifold*, Differential geometric methods in mathematical physics (Proc. Internat. Conf., Tech. Univ. Clausthal, Clausthal-Zellerfeld, 1978), Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 139, Springer, Berlin, 1981, With an appendix by P. Michor, pp. 310- 329.

[2] B. Botvinnik, N. Perlmutter, *Stable moduli spaces of high-dimensional handlebodies,* arXiv:1509.03359,

[3] S. Galatius, O. Randal-Williams, *Homological Stability for Moduli Spaces of High Dimensional Manifolds,* arXiv:1203.6830

[4] _____, *Homological Stability for Moduli Spaces of High Dimensional Manifolds, 1,* arXiv:1403.2334

[5] _____, *Stable moduli spaces of high-dimensional manifolds,* Acta Mathematica, 212 (2014), no. 2, 257-377

[6] A. Haefliger, *Plongements différentiables de variétés dans variétés*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici, 26, (1961), 47-82

[7] A. Hatcher, F. Quinn, *Bordism Invariants of Intersections of Submanifolds,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol 200 (1974), 327-344

[8] J. Hudson, *Embeddings of bounded manifolds*, Math. Proc. of Camb. Phil. Soc., (1972) 11- 20

[9] A. Hatcher, N. Wahl, *Stabilization for Mapping Class Groups of* 3*-Manifolds*, Duke Math J. Vol 155, 2 (2010) 205-269

[10] G. Laures, *On cobordism of manifolds with corners,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol 352, 12 (2000) 5667-5688

[11] J. Milnor, *Lectures On The h-Cobordism Theorem*, Princeton University Press (1965)

[12] _____, *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint,* Princeton University Press (1965)

[13] N. Perlmutter, *Homological stability for the moduli spaces of products of spheres*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., electronically published on August 18, 2015, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/tran/6564 (to appear in print)

[14] _____, *Linking forms and stabilization of diffeomorphism groups of manifolds of dimension* $4n+1$, arXiv:1411.2330, to appear in Journal of Topology.

[15] C.T.C. Wall, *Classification Problems in Differential Topology-I*, Topology Vol. 6, pp. 273- 296. (1967)

[16] C.T.C. Wall, A. Ranicki, ed., *Surgery on compact manifolds,* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs 69 (2nd ed.), Providence R.I.: American Mathematical Society (1970).
[17] R. Wells, *Modifying Intersections*, Illinois J. Math., Vol. 11, Issue 3 (1967) 389-403

Stanford University Department of Mathematics, Building 380, Stanford, California, 94305, USA
E-mail address: nperlmut@stanford.edu